


بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم


قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات




يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار






بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة





بالرسالة صفحات
لم ترد بالأصل



بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

”رب اشرح لي صدري ويسر لي أمري“

دكتوراه
الطب البشري
الجامعة
الاسكندرية

د. شريف كوتري

A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THE
REGENERATIVE POTENTIAL OF A POROUS
BONE MINERAL AND A BILAYER COLLAGEN
MEMBRANE IN THE MANAGEMENT OF
PERIODONTAL OSSEOUS DEFECTS

Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the

Doctor Degree

In

Oral medicine, Periodontology,
Oral Diagnosis and Oral Radiology

Presented By

Gihan Sherif Kotry

(B.D.S, 1986, M. Sc, 1994)

Faculty of Dentistry
Alexandria University

2002

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Zubeida Nour

Professor of Oral Medicine, Periodontology,
Oral Diagnosis and Oral Radiology
Faculty of Dentistry
Alexandria University

Prof. Dr. Naguiba Mahmoud

Professor of Oral Medicine, Periodontology,
Oral Diagnosis and Oral Radiology
Faculty of Dentistry
Alexandria University

Prof. Dr. Shadia H. Abdel-Meguid

Professor and Head of the Department of Oral Biology
Faculty of Dentistry
Alexandria University

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Farid Noaman

Professor of Medical Physics,
Radiology Department
Faculty of Medicine
Alexandria University

Dr. Ghada Mansour

Associate Professor of Oral Medicine, Periodontology,
Oral Diagnosis and Oral Radiology
Faculty of Dentistry
Alexandria University

Dedicated to

My Loving Parents

My Patient Husband

And

My Children; Moustafa and Lara

List of Contents

Chapter		Page
	List of Tables	
	List of Figures	
	List of Graphs	
I	Introduction	1
II	Review of Literature	3
	<i>Chronic Periodontitis</i>	3
	<i>Periodontal Osseous Lesions</i>	8
	<i>Treatment of Intraosseous Defects</i>	20
	1. Conservative Treatment	20
	2. Resective Osseous Surgery	21
	3. Reconstructive and Regenerative Therapies	23
	<i>Bone Replacement Materials</i>	26
	<i>Bone Grafts Derived from Human Sources</i>	27
	<i>Bone Substitutes</i>	34
	<i>Bio-Oss® and Bio-Oss® COLLAGEN</i>	47
	<i>Guided Tissue Regeneration (GTR)</i>	61
	<i>Non-Resorbable Membranes</i>	66
	<i>Bioabsorbable Membranes</i>	72
	<i>Bio-Gide® Membrane</i>	85
	<i>Biological Approaches for the Promotion of Periodontal Regeneration</i>	87
	<i>Extracellular Matrix Proteins and Attachment Factors</i>	94
	<i>Enamel Matrix Proteins (EMP)</i>	95
	<i>Mediators of Bone Metabolism</i>	100
	<i>Root Surface Conditioning</i>	103
	<i>Coronally Repositioned Flaps</i>	107
	<i>Dynamics of Healing and Regeneration of Periodontal Wounds</i>	108
III	Aim of the Work	115
IV	Materials and Methods	116
	I. <i>Clinical and Radiological Study</i>	116
	II. <i>Histological Study</i>	137

Chapter		Page
V	Results	144
	<i>i. Clinical and Radiological Results</i>	144
	Group I (Bio-Gide® PERIO Treated Sites)	144
	Group II (Bio-Oss® COLLAGEN Treated Sites)	154
	Group III (Treated by Both Bio-Gide® PERIO and Bio-Oss® COLLAGEN)	160
	<i>ii. Descriptive Histology</i>	185
	Group A	185
	Group B	186
	Group C	187
	Group D	188
	<i>iii. Histophotometric Analysis</i>	196
VI	Discussion	198
VII	Summary	233
VIII	Conclusions	236
IX	References	238
	Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page
I	Examples of matrices, cells and regulators employed in tissue engineering.	25
II	Physical characteristics of natural bone mineral (NBM) compared with other grafting materials.	50
III	Origins of synthetic cells in the periodontium.	109
IV	Mean, standard deviation, range and percentage change of probing pocket depth (in mm) in group I throughout the experimental period.	150
V	Mean, standard deviation, range and percentage change of clinical attachment level (in mm) in group I throughout the experimental period.	150
VI	Mean, standard deviation and range of gingival recession (in mm) in group I throughout the experimental period.	151
VII	Type and depth of defect (in mm) measured during surgery for group I.	151
VIII	Mean, standard deviation and range for plaque index scores in group I throughout the experimental period.	152
IX	Mean, standard deviation and range for papillary bleeding index scores in group I throughout the experimental period.	152
X	Mean, standard deviation, range and percentage change of optical densities in group I defects throughout the experimental period.	152
XI	Mean, standard deviation, range as well as percentage change of linear radiographic measurements of the alveolar bone height (%) in group I defects throughout the experimental period.	153

Table No.	Title	Page
XII	Mean, standard deviation, range and percentage change of probing pocket depth (in mm) in group II throughout the experimental period.	156
XIII	Mean, standard deviation, range and percentage change of clinical attachment level (in mm) in group II throughout the experimental period.	156
XIV	Mean, standard deviation and range of gingival recession (in mm) in group II throughout the experimental period.	157
XV	Type and depth of defect (in mm) measured during surgery for group II.	157
XVI	Mean, standard deviation and range for plaque index scores in group II throughout the experimental period.	158
XVII	Mean, standard deviation and range for papillary bleeding index scores in group II throughout the experimental period.	158
XVIII	Mean, standard deviation, range and percentage change of optical densities in group II defects throughout the experimental period.	158
XIX	Mean, standard deviation, range as well as percentage change of linear radiographic measurements of the alveolar bone height (%) in group II defects throughout the experimental period.	159
XX	Mean, standard deviation, range and percentage change of probing pocket depth (in mm) in group III throughout the experimental period.	162
XXI	Mean, standard deviation, range and percentage change of clinical attachment level (in mm) in group III throughout the experimental period.	162
XXII	Mean, standard deviation and range of gingival recession (in mm) in group III throughout the experimental period.	163

Table No.	Title	Page
XXIII	Type and depth of defect (in mm) measured during surgery for group III.	163
XXIV	Mean, standard deviation and range for plaque index scores in group III throughout the experimental period.	164
XXV	Mean, standard deviation and range for papillary bleeding index scores in group III throughout the experimental period.	164
XXVI	Mean, standard deviation, range and percentage change of optical densities in group III defects throughout the experimental period.	164
XXVII	Mean, standard deviation, range as well as percentage change of linear radiographic measurements of the alveolar bone height (%) in group III defects throughout the experimental period.	165
XXVIII	Comparison between mean, standard deviation and percentage change of probing pocket depth (mm) of the three study groups throughout the experimental period.	170
XXIX	Comparison between mean, standard deviation and percentage change of the clinical attachment level (mm) of the three study groups throughout the experimental period.	172
XXX	Comparison between mean, standard deviation and percentage change of gingival recession values (mm) of the three study groups throughout the experimental period.	174
XXXI	Comparison between the three study groups with respect to plaque index (PI) scores throughout the experimental period.	176
XXXII	Comparison between the three study groups with respect to papillary bleeding index scores throughout the experimental period.	178

Table No.	Title	Page
XXXIII	Comparison between mean, standard deviation and percentage change of the optical densities of the three study groups throughout the experimental period.	180
XXXIV	Comparison between mean, standard deviation and percentage change of the alveolar bone height expressed as a percentage of root length, between the three study groups throughout the experimental period.	182
XXXV	Comparison between defect type (according to the number of osseous walls) and distribution (%) between the three experimental groups throughout the experimental period.	184
XXXV	Histomorphometric comparison between the four groups at 6 months post-surgery.	197
