



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



HANAA ALY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



HANAA ALY



Cairo University

CALIBRATION AND VALIDATION OF VISSIM PARAMETERS IN MIXED TRAFFIC FOR THE SELECTED MAIN CORRIDORS IN CAIRO CITY

By

Ahmed Moustafa Abdou Ahmed

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

In

CIVIL ENGINEERING - PUBLIC WORKS

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2022

**CALIBRATION AND VALIDATION OF VISSIM
PARAMETERS IN MIXED TRAFFIC FOR THE
SELECTED MAIN CORRIDORS IN CAIRO CITY**

By
AHMED MOUSTAFA ABDOL AHMED

A Thesis Submitted To The
Faculty Of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of The
Requirements for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
CIVIL ENGINEERING - PUBLIC WORKS

Under the Supervision of

**Prof. Dr. Ahmed Elbadawy Taha
Abdelmegeed**

Associate Prof. Dr. Ahmed Hassan

.....
Professor of transportation
Public Works Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

.....
Associate Professor
Public Works Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2022

CALIBRATION AND VALIDATION OF VISSIM PARAMETERS IN MIXED TRAFFIC FOR THE SELECTED MAIN CORRIDORS IN CAIRO CITY

By
Ahmed Moustafa Abdou Ahmed

A thesis submitted to the
Faculty of engineering at Cairo university
In partial fulfillment of the
Requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
CIVIL ENGINEERING - PUBLIC WORKS

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Elbadawy Taha Abdelmegeed, Thesis Main Advisor
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Associate Prof. Dr. Ahmed Hassan,
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Advisor

Prof. Dr. Azza Mostafa Saied,
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Internal Examiner

Prof. Dr. Ibrahim Hassan Hashem,
Faculty of Engineering, Menoufia University

External Examiner

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2022

Engineer's Name: Ahmed Moustafa Abdou Ahmed
Date of Birth: 28/09/1968
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: ahmed.ahmed9@eng1.cu.edu.eg
Phone: +2 01145496703
Address: Zahraa El Maadi, Cairo
Registration Date: 1/10/2017
Awarding Date: / /2022
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Civil engineering-Public works



Supervisors:
Prof. Dr. Ahmed Elbadawy taha
Associate prof. Dr. Ahmed Hassan

Examiners:
Prof. Ahmed Elbadawy taha (Thesis main advisor)
Associate Prof. Dr. Ahmed Hassan (Advisor)
Prof. Dr. Azza Mostafa Saied (Internal examiner)
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University
Prof. Dr. Ibrahim Hassan Hashem (External examiner)
Faculty of Engineering, Menoufia University

Title of Thesis:

CALIBRATION AND VALIDATION OF VISSIM PARAMETERS IN MIXED TRAFFIC FOR THE SELECTED MAIN CORRIDORS IN CAIRO CITY

Key Words:

Calibration; Simulation; Microscopic; Validation; Parameters

Summary:

A framework for calibrating PTV VISSIM microscopic simulation model for the selected corridors in Cairo is developed. The calibration process is based on estimating appropriate PTV VISSIM driving behavior parameters values given measurable traffic indicators from the field. First, build a microscopic simulation model. Second, identify the significant driving behavior parameters by using ANOVA single factor. Third, the Genetic Algorithm (GA) is used to calibrate the significant parameters. Prediction procedure could successfully predict traffic flow and average travel time along network links with a correlation coefficient of ($R^2 = 0.98, 0.99$, and 0.99 for Autostrad, Imtedaad Ramses, and El Mosheer, Respectively) and define the final calibrated values of the significant parameters. Finally, the validation is applied on Salah Salem corridor model at AM and PM peak shows the validation process of the final calibrated parameters is successful and leads to a good result for traffic flow and the correlation between field and simulated travel time ($R^2 = 0.84$ and 0.843 at AM peak and PM peak, receptively).

Disclaimer

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have cited them in the references section.

Name: Ahmed Moustafa Abdou Ahmed Date: / /2022

Signature:

Dedication

To My Late Parents

Acknowledgments

I am really enthusiastic and grateful at the time of completing my MSc degree. During the last four years, I had the opportunity of continuing my graduate studies and carrying out research in the field of transportation and traffic Engineering. This opportunity allowed me to start my research on several interesting topics in transportation and traffic engineering field, and programming in addition to practical work experience in the fields of traffic engineering and highway design.

During my course of study and furthered my knowledge by reading and learning more about very interesting topics in those fields. I followed my advisors and I struggle, step by step, until finally my hard work concluded and I was able to write this thesis to present my research findings.

As I am approaching end line to finish my Master Thesis, I would like to thank my esteemed supervisor, **Prof. Dr. Ahmed Elbadawy taha** for his invaluable supervision while working towards obtaining my MSc degree.

I would like to present my deep thanks and gratitude to my esteemed supervisor **Associate Prof. Dr. Ahmed Hassan** for his invaluable supervision, support and tutelage during the course of my MSc degree. Also, my thanks to research assistant engineer Amr Khaled for his help to find the answers to specific inquiries in my research.

Moreover, I would like to thank my family in supporting and encouraging me in all my steps towards completing my study. Finally, yet importantly, I would like to thank PTV Group for providing a full thesis 18 months license of the latest version of their Traffic Microscopic Simulation Software (VISSIM) to complete the research work presented here in.

Table of Contents

DISCLAIMER	I
DEDICATION	II
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	III
TABLE OF CONTENTS	IV
LIST OF TABLES	VIII
LIST OF FIGURES	X
NOMENCLATURE.....	XII
ABSTRACT.....	XIII
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.2. ORGANIZATION OF THE THESIS	1
CHAPTER 2 : OBJECTIVES AND LIMITATIONS.....	2
CHAPTER 1	2
2.1. OBJECTIVES:	2
2.2. LIMITATIONS:	2
CHAPTER 3 : LITERATURE REVIEW	3
3.1. INTRODUCTION	3
3.2. TRAFFIC SIMULATION MODELS	3
3.2.1. Microscopic traffic flow models.....	3
3.2.2. Mesoscopic models.....	4
3.2.3. Macroscopic models	4
3.3. TRAFFIC SIMULATION SOFTWARE	5
3.3.1. SUMO	5
3.3.2. Quadtone Paramics	5
3.3.3. Aimsun.....	5
3.3.4. Corsim.....	5
3.3.5. PTV – VISSIM a traffic simulation.....	6
3.4. PTV VISSIM	6
3.4.1. Advantages of PTV VISSIM	6
3.5. PREVIOUS RELATED STUDIES	7
3.5.1. Microscopic Simulation Model Calibration and Validation, Case Study of VISSIM Simulation Model for a Coordinated Actuated Signal System (2003)..	9
3.5.2. Development and Evaluation of a Calibration and Validation Procedure for Microscopic Simulation Models (2004)	11
3.5.3. Development and Evaluation of a Procedure for the Calibration of Simulation Models (2005).....	12

3.5.4. Calibration of PTV VISSIM for Shanghai Expressway Using Genetic Algorithm (2005)	15
3.5.5. Calibration and validation of micro-simulation models of medium-size networks (2011).....	16
3.5.6. Methodology for the Calibration of VISSIM in Mixed Traffic (2013)	18
3.5.7. Development of online Vissim traffic microscopic calibration framework using artificial intelligence for the Cairo CBD (2020)	20
3.6. PREVIOUS STUDIES CONCLUSIONS.....	22
3.7. COMMON CALIBRATION ALGORITHMS AND DATA SAMPLING	27
3.7.1. Simplex Algorithm	27
3.7.2. Box's Complex Algorithm	28
3.7.3. Trial and Error	29
3.7.4. SPSA and Enhanced SPSA Algorithm	30
3.7.5. Genetic Algorithm	31
3.7.6. Latin Hypercube Sample (LHS)	35
3.8 SUMMARY	36
CHAPTER 4 : RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	37
4.1. INTRODUCTION	37
4.2. METHODOLOGY PROCESS AND OUTLINE	37
4.3. DATA COLLECTION AND PREPARATION	40
4.3.1. Corridor Selection in the research	40
4.3.2. Geometric characteristics of the Selected corridors.....	40
4.3.3. Traffic Volume	40
4.3.4. Traffic composition	40
4.3.5. Travel time data for the selected Corridors:	41
4.3.6. Desired Speed Distribution for the selected corridors:	41
4.4. MICROSCOPIC MODELING AND IDENTIFICATION OF THE SIGNIFICANT PARAMETERS	43
4.4.1. VISSIM Microscopic Simulation Modeling.....	43
4.4.2. Initial Model setup and Error Checking	44
4.4.3. Required Number of Simulation Runs	44
4.4.4. Warm up and Cool down time in simulation model.....	45
4.4.5. Identification of Driving Behavior Parameters set and the Significant Parameters.....	46
4.4.6. Data set Generation for Models.....	48
4.5. IDENTIFICATION OF DATASET GENERATION BY (LHS) FOR GENETIC ALGORITHM MODELS	49
4.6. RUNNING VISSIM MODEL WITH EXTRACTED DATA SET AND GENETIC ALGORITHM CALIBRATION AND VALIDATION.....	49
4.7. GENETIC ALGORITHM TRAVEL TIME AND TRAFFIC FLOW PREDICTION AND SIMULATION MODEL CALIBRATION.	50
4.7.1. Parameter Calibration by Use of GA.....	51
4.8. MODEL VALIDATION	52
4.9. SUMMARY	53
CHAPTER 5 : DATA COLLECTION AND PREPARATION	54

5.1. INTRODUCTION	54
5.2. SELECTION OF CORRIDORS	54
5.3. FIELD DATA COLLECTION	59
5.3.1. Geometry and Corridors Elements Characteristics.....	59
5.3.2. Traffic Volume Data.....	59
5.3.3. Travel Time Data Collection Using Google Traffic.....	63
5.3.4. Desired Speed Distribution of the selected corridors	68
5.4. SUMMARY	73
CHAPTER 6 : MICROSCOPIC SIMULATION MODEL SETUP.....	74
6.1. INTRODUCTION	74
6.2. SCOPE OF MICROSCOPIC SIMULATION MODELING.....	74
6.3. BASE MODEL DEVELOPMENT	75
6.3.1. Corridors Geometric characteristics	75
6.3.2. Traffic Control Data	76
6.3.3. Traffic Demand Data	76
6.3.4. Check Model error.....	76
6.4. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE MICRO SIMULATION MODEL	76
6.4.1. Estimation of Simulation Warm-up and Cooling Down Periods.....	76
6.4.2. Required Number of Simulation Runs	78
6.5. MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS (MOE)	78
6.6. PTV VISSIM PARAMETERS	79
6.6.1. The Driving Behavior Parameters	79
6.7. IDENTIFICATION OF PTV VISSIM KEY PARAMETERS AND THEIR RANGES	83
6.8. IDENTIFICATION OF THE SIGNIFICANT PARAMETERS.....	85
6.9. SUMMARY	89
CHAPTER 7 : CALIBRATION OF VISSIM DRIVING BEHAVIOR PARAMETERS	91
7.1. INTRODUCTION	91
7.2. DATA FOR CALIBRATION AND VALIDATION	91
7.3. MODEL CALIBRATION WITH GENETIC ALGORITHM	91
7.3.1. Sampling of Parameter Values Using Latin Hypercube Design (LHS)	92
7.3.2. Initial population of Genetic Algorithm (GA)	92
7.3.3. Applying Genetic Algorithm in the calibration of significant parameters.....	93
7.4. CORRIDORS CALIBRATION USING THE GENETIC ALGORITHM	95
7.4.1. Autostrad corridor.....	95
7.4.2. Imtadaad Ramses corridor	97
7.4.3. El Mosheer Corridor.....	100
7.4.4. Summary of the model result.....	102
7.5. FINAL CALIBRATED PARAMETERS SET	103
7.6. SUMMARY	103
CHAPTER 8 : VALIDATION OF THE VISSIM DRIVING BEHAVIOR PARAMETERS	105
8.1. INTRODUCTION	105

8.2. THE FINAL CALIBRATED PARAMETER	105
8.3. DATA FOR VALIDATION	105
8.4. CORRIDORS MODEL VALIDATION	106
8.4.1. Salah Salem Corridor.....	106
8.5. DETERMINATION OF THE DRIVING BEHAVIOR PARAMETERS OF SALAH SALEM CORRIDOR.....	110
8.5.1. Salah Salem Parameters results (AM Peak)	111
8.6. COMPARISON BETWEEN THE CALIBRATED PARAMETERS AND THE PARAMETERS OF SALAH SALEM CORRIDOR	112
8.7. THE EVALUATION OF FINAL PARAMETER’S DATA SET.....	114
8.8. SUMMARY	114
CHAPTER 9 : SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	115
9.1. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	115
9.2. FUTURE RESEARCH RECOMMENDATIONS	116
REFERENCES.....	117
APPENDIX A: TRAVEL TIME COLLECTION PYTHON SCRIPT	120
APPENDIX B: LATIN HYPERCUBE SAMPLING (LHS) PYTHON SCRIPT	122
APPENDIX C: PYTHON SCRIPT FOR MODEL CALIBRATION BY GENETIC ALGORITHM	123

List of Tables

Table 3.1: Macroscopic and Microscopic Data comparison.....	4
Table 3.2 : Different stages and techniques for calibration of traffic flow micro simulation mode.....	8
Table 3.3 : Parameters for calibration and their ranges	9
Table 3.4 : 8 parameter sets candidate as solutions	10
Table 3.5 : Parameters for calibration and their ranges	13
Table 3.6 : The final set of calibration parameters	15
Table 3.7 : Results of driver behavior parameters calibration	17
Table 3.8 : Results of model validation	18
Table 3.9 : Set of significant parameters	21
Table 3.10. : Estimated parameter values by the framework.....	22
Table 3.11 : Summary of different stages and techniques for calibration of traffic flow Micro Simulation models in literature	23
Table 3.12 : The statistical of calibration procedure, number of calibrated parameters, optimization procedure and MOEs	24
Table 3.13 : Comparison between previous related studies in terms of calibrated Parameters.....	25
Table 3.14 : Most Commonly used parameters in Vissim Model Calibration	27
Table 4.1 : Model Run Statistical Equation.....	45
Table 4.2 : The selected parameters for Sensitivity analysis.....	48
Table 5.1 : Selected Corridors in the Study	55
Table 5.2 : The Selected Corridor Geometric Characteristics	59
Table 5.3 : Traffic count of selected corridors (veh. /h)	59
Table 5.4 : Field average Travel Time of the selected Corridor (sec.)	63
Table 6.1 : PTV VISSIM Model Parameters	84
Table 6.2 : The selected parameters for Sensitivity analysis and their values.....	85
Table 6.3 : Testing of the significant parameters by ANOVA	86
Table 6.4 : The Final significant Parameters set.....	87
Table 6.5 :The Definitions of the significant Parameters and default and ranges	88
Table 7.1 : The calibration corridors	91
Table 7.2 : The initial population of Model Parameters	93
Table 7.3 : MAPE value between Field and simulated average travel time	96
Table 7.4 : The results of test of Hypotheses.....	97
Table 7.5 : Traffic volume field and simulated with GEH	97
Table 7.6 : MAPE value between Field and simulated average travel time	99
Table 7.7 : The result of test of Hypotheses	99
Table 7.8 : traffic volume Field and Simulated with GEH.....	99
Table 7.9 : MAPE value between Field and simulated average travel time	101
Table 7.10 : The result of test of Hypotheses	102
Table 7.11 : Traffic volume Field and Simulated with GEH.....	102
Table 7.12 : Summary of the model Results.....	102
Table 7.13 : Final Calibrated data set Vs Default Values.....	103
Table 8.1 : Final Calibration Parameter Data Set	105
Table 8.2 : The Validation Corridor	105
Table 8.3 : MAPE value between field and simulated average travel time.....	108
Table 8.4 : The result of test of Hypotheses	108