

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



SALWA AKL



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



SALWA AKL

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



SALWA AKL



بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة



SALWA AKL



بالرسالة صفحات

لم ترد بالأصل



SALWA AKL

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قَالُوا صَبِّحًا تُدْعَى عَلَيْهِ

لَهُمَا إِلَهٌ مَا عُلِمْنَا لَكَ أَنتَ الْعَلِيمُ الْعَظِيمُ

صَدَقَ اللَّهُ الْعَظِيمُ

**NEW APPROACHES TO INTERPRET MAGNETIC
DATA**

A THESIS SUBMITTED

TO

**GEOPHYSICS DEPARTMENT,
FACULTY OF SCIENCE, CAIRO UNIVERSITY**

For the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

(Ph. D.) in Geophysics

By

Farouk Elsayed Nasr Elsayed

(B. Sc in Geology and M. Sc. in Geophysics)

GIZA, EGYPT

2001

APPROVAL SHEET

Title of the Ph. D. Thesis:

NEW APPROACHES TO INTERPRET MAGNETIC DATA

Submitted to:

**Geophysics Department,
Faculty of Science, Cairo University**

Name of the Candidate:

FAROUK ELSAYED NASR ELSAYED

Supervision Committee:

- Prof. Dr. El-Sayed M. Abdelrahman

**Professor of Geophysics, Geophysics Department,
Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt.**

- Prof. Dr. Ahmed A. Ammar

**Professor of Applied Geophysics, Exploration Division,
Nuclear Materials Authority, Cairo, Egypt.**

- Dr. Mahmoud A. Ghaleb

**Assistant Professor of Geophysics,
Geophysics Department, Faculty of Science,
Cairo University, Giza, Egypt.**

Approved by:

Prof. Dr. El-Sayed M. Abdelrahman

**Head of Geophysics Department,
Faculty of Science, Cairo University.**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Praise is to Allah, the Lord of the Worlds, whose grace this work has been completed.

The author wishes to express his deep gratitude and deep appreciation to Prof. Dr. **El-Sayed M. Abdelrahman**, Head of the Geophysics Department, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, for his technical supervision, faithful guidance, reviewing the manuscript, his leading comments and consistent encouragement during the preparation of this thesis.

Grateful acknowledgement, deep gratitude and appreciation are due to Dr. **Hamdy S. Sadek**, Vice President of Nuclear Materials Authority, Cairo, Egypt, for his great help and encouragement during this research work.

Many thanks are due to **Dr. Mahmoud A. Ghaleb**, Assistant Professor, Geophysics Department, Faculty of Science, Cairo University for his supervision, faithful guidance and leading comments.

The author would like to express his deep gratitude to Professor Dr. **Ahmed A. Ammar**, Professor of Applied Geophysics, Exploration Division, Nuclear Materials Authority, Cairo, Egypt, and **Dr. Hamdy I. Hassanein** lecturer of Applied Geophysics, Exploration Division, Nuclear Materials Authority, Cairo, Egypt, for their supervision, sincere guidance and sympathetic criticism and help during conducting this work.

Grateful acknowledgement is due to Prof. Dr. **Abouhoda M. Elsirafy**, professor of Applied Geophysics, Exploration Division, Nuclear Materials Authority, Cairo, Egypt, for his sincere help.

The author is also grateful to all the members of the Exploration Division, Nuclear Materials Authority, Cairo, Egypt.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	I
TABLE OF CONTENTS	II
LIST OF FIGURES	V
LIST OF TABLES	IX
LIST OF APPENDICES	XI
ABSTRACT	1
INTRODUCTION	3
CHAPTER I	4
REVIEW OF MAGNETIC REGIONAL-RESIDUAL SEPARATION AND DEPTH ESTIMATION METHODS	
INTRODUCTION	4
REGIONAL-RESIDUAL SEPARATION TECHNIQUES	5
1-SPECTRAL ANALYSIS METHODS	6
2- REDUCTION OF THE MAGNETIC DATA TO THE POLE	6
3-DERIVATIVE CALCULATIONS	7
4- THE LEAST- SQUARES METHODS	11
DEPTH ESTIMATION METHODS	12

CHAPTER II

MAGNETIC DATA INTERPRETATION USING NUMERICAL HORIZONTAL GRADIENTS AT THE ORIGIN OF THE SOURCE

INTRODUCTION	24
THE METHOD	25
THEORETICAL EXAMPLES	29

CHAPTER III

SECOND DERIVATIVE ANALYSIS OF MAGNETIC DATA

INTRODUCTION	52
THE METHOD	53
THEORETICAL EXAMPLES	54

CHAPTER IV

MAGNETIC DATA INTERPRETATION USING NUMERICAL THIRD HORIZONTAL DERIVATIVES AT THE ORIGIN OF THE SOURCE

INTRODUCTION	60
THE METHOD	61

THEORETICAL EXAMPLE	63
CHAPTER V	
DEPTH DETERMINATION FROM FOURTH DERIVATIVE MAGNETIC ANOMALIES	
INTRODUCTION	73
THE METHOD	74
THEORETICAL EXAMPLES	78
CHAPTER VI	
OPTIMUM ORDER REGIONAL MAGNETIC FIELD DETERMINATION	
INTRODUCTION	81
THE METHOD	82
THEORETICAL EXAMPLE	84
FIELD EXAMPLE	100
CHAPTER VII	
CONCLUSIONS	109
REFERENCES	111
APPENDICES	120
ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Fig. (1) Simple geological structures.	27
Fig. (2) A typical magnetic anomaly profile of a thin dike. The positive of maximum value (M) and the negative of minimum value (m), which can be used to determine the origin are illustrated.	30
Fig. (3) Total magnetic anomaly (ΔH_1) of a buried thin dike as obtained from equation (7).	32
Fig. (4) Total magnetic anomaly (ΔH_2) of a buried horizontal cylinder as obtained from equation (8).	33
Fig. (5) Data analysis of Figure 3 using the present numerical horizontal gradient method.	34
Fig. (6) Data analysis of Figure 4 using the present numerical horizontal gradient method.	35
Fig. (7) Family of parametric curves of z as a function of q for $r=1/2$, $r=2/3$, $r=3/4$ and $r=4/5$ spacing ratio as obtained from total magnetic anomaly of a buried thin dike (Fig. 3).	38
Fig. (8) Family of parametric curves of z as a function of q for $r=1/2$, $r=2/3$, $r=3/4$ and $r=4/5$ spacing ratio as obtained from total magnetic anomaly of a buried horizontal cylinder (Fig. 4).	39
Fig. (9) Family of parametric curves of z as a function of q for $r=1/2$, $r=2/3$, $r=3/4$ and $r=4/5$ spacing ratio as obtained from total magnetic anomaly of a buried horizontal cylinder (Fig. 4) after adding 0.3 units to x-coordinate of equation (8). Estimates of q and z are 2.04 and 5 units of depth, respectively.	43

Fig. (10) Family of parametric curves of z as a function of q for $r=1/2$, $r=2/3$, $r=3/4$ and $r=4/5$ spacing ratio as obtained from total magnetic anomaly of a buried horizontal cylinder (Fig. 4) after adding 0.5 units to x-coordinate of equation (8). Estimates of q and z are 2.15 and 5.15 units of depth, respectively	44
Fig. (11) Data analysis of magnetic data (ΔH_1) after adding 5% random error using the present numerical horizontal gradient method.	45
Fig. (12) Data analysis of magnetic data (ΔH_2) after adding 5% random error using the present numerical horizontal gradient method.	46
Fig. (13) Family of parametric curves of z as a function of q as obtained from the magnetic anomaly after adding 5% random error to the data. Estimates of q and z are: 1.1 and 2.2 units of depth, respectively.	49
Fig. (14) Family of parametric curves of z as a function of q as obtained from the magnetic anomaly after adding 5% random error to the data. Estimates of q and z are: 1.9 and 4.8 units of depth, respectively.	50
Fig. (15) Composite magnetic anomaly consisting of residual anomaly due to a sphere and a first order regional.	55
Fig. (16) Data analysis of Figure (15) using the present second numerical derivative method.	56
Fig. (17) Total composite magnetic anomaly (ΔH) of a buried horizontal cylinder and a second order regional from equation (19).	65
Fig. (18) Data analysis of Figure (17) using the present third numerical derivative method.	66
Fig. (19) Data interpretation of Figure (17) using parametric curves method.	68
Fig. (20) Data analysis of Figure (17) using the present third numerical derivative method after adding 5% error.	69

Fig.(21) Data interpretation of Figure (17) using parametric curves method after adding 5% error.	71
Fig. (22) Composite magnetic anomaly consisting of a residual anomaly due to a thin dike and a third order regional.	76
Fig. (23) Data analysis of Figure (22) using the present fourth numerical derivative method.	77
Fig. (24) Residual magnetic anomaly of a buried horizontal cylinder.	85
Fig. (25) Composite magnetic anomaly of a buried horizontal cylinder of zero-order regional ($\Delta H_0 \approx Z_0 + R_s$).	86
Fig. (26) Composite magnetic anomaly of a buried horizontal cylinder of first-order regional ($\Delta H_1 \approx Z_1 + R_s$).	87
Fig. (27) Composite magnetic anomaly of a buried horizontal cylinder of second-order regional ($\Delta H_2 \approx Z_2 + R_s$).	88
Fig. (28) First horizontal derivative anomalies obtained by applying first derivative method to input data of Figure (25).	89
Fig. (29) Second horizontal derivative anomalies obtained by applying second derivative method to input data of Figures (25) and (26).	90
Fig. (30) Third horizontal derivative anomalies obtained by applying third derivative method to input data of Figures (25), (26) and (27).	91
Fig. (31) Fourth horizontal derivative anomalies obtained by applying fourth derivative method to input data of Figures (25), (26) and (27).	92
Fig. (32) First horizontal derivative anomalies obtained by applying first derivative method to input data of Figure (26).	93