

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



سبحه المعلومات الجامعي ASUNET @







شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم





جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها على هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمحة يعيدا عن الغيار







بعض الوثائق

الأصلية تالفة

-C-02-502-





بالرسالة صفحات

لم ترد بالأصل





17322

STUDIES ON THE EGYPTIAN COTTON GRADE BY THE HIGH VOLUME INSTRUMENT (H.V.I.)

BY

HODA EID MOHAMED ABD EL-GELIL HAMZA

A Thesis Submitted on Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

Governing the Award of the Degree of

MASTER OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES
(AGRONOMY)

PLANT PRODUCTION DEPARTMENT

UNIVERSITY OF ALEXANDRIA

2001



ALEXANDRIA UNIVERSITY

Faculty of Agriculture (Saba Basha) <u>Plant Production Dept.</u>

STUDIES ON THE EGYPTIAN COTTON GRADE BY THE HIGH VOLUME INSTRUMENT (H.V.I.)

Presented by

HODA EID MOHAMED ABD EL-GELIL HAMZA

For the degree of

MASTER OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES (AGRONOMY) PLANT PRODUCTION DEPARTMENT

Examiner's Committee:

Approved

Shouls - Kale

Prof. Dr. ABDALLA EL-BAKRY HOSSAM EL-DIN

Professor of Agronomy, Plant Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture (Saba Basha), Alexandria University.

Prof. Dr. IBRAHIM FATHALLA REHAB

Professor of Agronomy, and Head of Plant Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture (Saba Basha), Alexandria University.

Dr. MOHAMED ALY EWEIDA

Head of Ginning Research Department, Cotton Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Giza, Egypt.

Dr. MASHHOUT GANAB ISMAEIL BEHEARY

Assistant Professor of Agronomy, Plant Production= Department, Faculty of Agriculture (Saba Basha), Alexandria University. M.R. Eweda

MBelia

ADVISOR'S COMMITTEE

Prof. Dr. ABDALLA EL-BAKRY HOSSAM EL-DIN

Professor of Agronomy,
Plant Production Department,
Faculty of Agriculture (Saba Basha),
Alexandria University

Dr. MASHHOUT GANAB ISMAEIL BEHEARY

Assistant Professor of Agronomy, Plant Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture (Saba Basha), Alexandria University

CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES	ν
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ix
Chapter One: INTRODUCTION	
	1
Chapter Two: REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
I- Cotton Classification	5
2- Grade Determining Factors	10
3- Cotton Grade abd Fiber Characters relationship	25
Chapter Three: MATERIALS AND METHODS	34
A- Materials	35
B- Tested Properties	37
C- Statistical Analysis	41
Chapter Four: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	42
I- Varietal effect	43
II-Grade effect	53
III- Effect of the interaction between variety and grade	53 61
IV Intrinsic colour code (I.C.C.) of the Egyptian cotton varaieties.	84
V- Instrumental grading of the Egyptian cotton	
	88
Chapter Five: SUMMARY	94
I- Varietal effect	96
II-Grade effect	98
III- Effect of the interaction between variety and grade	99
IV Intrinsic colour code (I.C.C.) of the Egyptian cotton varaieties.	102
V- Instrumental grading of the Egyptian cotton	102
Chapter Six : LITERATURE CITED	104
Chapter Seven : ARABIC SUMMARY	-

LIST OF TABLES

Table (1)	;	Analysis of variance and mean of squares for the studied	Pag
		characters during the first season 1999	<i>,</i> 44
Table (2)	:	Analysis of variance and mean of squares for the studied characters during the second season 2000.	45
Table (3)	;	Mean values of the studied fiber characters as influenced by cotton variety during the first season 1999	47
Table (4)	:	Table (4): Mean values of the studied fiber characters as influenced by cotton variety during the second season 2000	48
Table (5)	:	Mean values of the studied colour and trash grades and their attributes as affected by cotton variety during the first season 1999	50
Table (6)	:	Mean values of the studied colour and trash grades and their attributes as affected by cotton variety during the second season 2000.	51
Table (7)	;	Mean values of the studied fiber characters as influenced by the cotton grade during the first season 1999	54
Table (8)	:	Mean values of the studied fiber characters as influenced by the cotton grade during the second season 2000	55
Table (9)	;	Mean values of the studied colour and trash grades and their attributes as affected by the cotton grade during the first season 1999.	58
Table (10)	:	Mean values of the studied colour and trash grades and their attributes as affected by the cotton grade during the Second season 2000.	59
Table (11)	:	Mean values of the studied fiber characters of Giza 45, Giza 70 and Giza 88 cultivars as influenced by the variety and grade interaction during the first season 1999	62
Table (12)	:	Mean values of the studied fiber characters of Giza 85, Giza 86 and Giza 89 cultivars as influenced by the variety and grade interaction during the first season 1999.	63
Table (13)	;	Mean values of the studied fiber characters of Giza 80 and Giza 83 cultivars as influenced by the variety and grade interaction during the first season 1999.	64

Table (14)	:	Mean values of the studied fiber characters of Giza 45, Giza 70 and Giza 88 cultivars as influenced by the variety and grade interaction during the second season 2000	66
Table (15)	:	Mean values of the studied fiber characters of Giza 85, Giza 86 and Giza 89 cultivars as influenced by the variety and grade interaction during the second season 2000	67
Table (16)	:	Mean values of the studied fiber characters of Giza 80 and Giza 83 cultivars as influenced by the variety and grade interaction during the second season 2000.	69
Table (17)	:	The correlation matrix of the studied characters during the first season 1999	70
Table (18)	: -	The correlation matrix of the studied characters during the second season 2000.	71
Table (19)	:	Mean values of the studied colour and trash grades and their attributes of Giza 45, Giza 70 and Giza 88 cultivars as affected by the cotton variety and grade interaction during the first season 1999.	74
Table (20)	:	Mean values of the studied colour and trash grades and their attributes of Giza 85, Giza 86 and Giza 89 cultivars as affected by the cotton variety and grade interaction during the first season 1999	75
Table (21)	:	Mean values of the studied colour and trash grades and their attributes of Giza 80 and Giza 83 cultivars as affected by the cotton variety and grade interaction during the first season 1999.	76
Table (22)	:	Mean values of the studied colour and trash grades and their attributes of Giza 45, Giza 70 and Giza 88 cultivars as affected by the cotton variety and grade interaction during the second season 2000.	77
Table (23)	:	Mean values of the studied colour and trash grades and their attributes of Giza 85, Giza 86 and Giza 89 cultivars as affected by the cotton variety and grade interaction during the second season 2000.	78
Table (24)	:	Table (24): Mean values of the studied colour and trash grades and their attributes of Giza 80 and Giza 83 cultivars as affected by the cotton variety and grade interaction during the second season 2000.	79

Table (25)	:	The colour categories of the Egyptian cotton varieties according to the 3-digit colour grade code of the highest grade (FG)	82
Table (26)		The range of the colour attributes (Rd% and +b) of the highest grade of the studied cotton varieties in the two season:	85
Table (27)	:	Intrinsia: colour code (I.C.C.) of the studied Egyptian cotton varietie: drived from the two five categories of the colour attributes (Rd% and +b) for the highest grade (FG) in the two seasons	86
Table (28)	:	11.V.I. variety specifications of the studied Egyptian cotton as an average value and overall range of the two seasons	90
Table (29)	:	Instrumental grades of the studied Egyption cotton varieties as an average, overall range and variety range of the two seasons	91

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure (1)	:	Nicherson-Hunter cotton colour diagram based on current	Page
		official standards for American Upland cotton	40
Figure (2)	:	Intrinsic colour code (I.C.C.) and colour classes of the Egyptian cotton varieties.	87
Figure (3)	;	The relationship between the calsser grade and the instrumental grade as average of the two seasons for the studied Egyptian cotton varieties	93

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to God, the most beneficeint and merciful, I wish to express my thanks and gratitude to Prof. Dr. ABDALLA EL-BAKRY HOSSAM EL-DIN, Professor of Agronomy, Plant Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture. (Saba Basha), Alexandria University, for his supervision, valuable advice and great help.

Faithful thanks and gratitude are also owned to **Dr. MASHHOUT GANAB ISMAEIL BEHEARY**, Assistant Professor of Agronomy, Piant

Production. Department, Faculty of Agriculture (Saba-Basha), Alexandria

University.

I would like to express my deepest appreciation to all staff members of Plant Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, (Saba Basha), Alexandria University for their encouragement and valuable advice.

Finally I wish to express my worm thanks and graduation to my Father, Mother, Brother, Sisters and my Friends for their help, patience and sharing me the difficulties of this study.

INTRODUCTION

Egyptian cotton has long been known for its top quality fibers which acclaimed a good reputation in the international cotton markets. The market value of cotton is largely determined by a subjective evaluation of a limited number of quality parameters such as fiber length, fineness, and grade.

The grading system in Egypt depends upon the experience of the classer to determine grade and quality of the raw cotton properties according to the official grade standards for each cotton variety.

Cotton dealers all over the world are moving towards new technology to evaluate the fiber properties, colour grade and trash grade. This is happening since 1991 for all the Upland cotton in the U.S.A. using the High Volume Instrument (H.V.I.).

A successful attempt was conducted by the Food and Agriculture Organization (F.A.O.) in Pakistan for the adoption of colour grade chart of the H.V.I. to be convenient to the local grading system.

All Pakistan's cotton now instrumentally classified by the same instrument.

The previous attempts of the instrumental grading of the Egyptian cotton by the H.V.I. using the Upland cotton colour chart demonstrated a high conflict between the colour and classer grades. This may be due to the difference in genome, colour, method of ginning and preparation between the Upland and the Egyptian cotton.