

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



-C-02-50-2-





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرونيلم





جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة يعيدا عن الغيار







القسم: الرياضيات

Behavior of Strategies in Three–Player Iterated Game

A Thesis submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the requirement for the Master Degree in Science in Pure Mathematics

By

Salsabeel Mohamed Abd El Salam

Demonstrator at Department of Basic Science, Faculty of Computer and Information Science, Ain Shams University

To

Department: Mathematics

Faculty of Science - Ain Shams University Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Essam Ahmed Soliman	Dr. Naglaa Mohamed Reda
El-Seidy	Taher

Professor of Pure Mathematics,
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University

Computer Science lecturer,
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamed Hassan Abdel-Aziz

Associate Professor of Scientific Computing, Department of Basic Science, Faculty of Computer and Information Science, Ain Shams University

Academic & Professional Degree Year(2021)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I start by thanking God Almighty for his many blessings and for my success to end this letter in this way. I ask God that I become a doctor in the future who does not skimp on anyone with the knowledge that God has taught her.

All thanks and appreciation to **my father**, may God have mercy on him, for his support before his death and for always encouraging me to reach the top and achieve my goals and always look for the best and rely on myself in everything and thanks to all of my mother and uncles for their help.

I extend my full thanks and gratitude to my doctor and teacher and my role model for suggesting the goal of the work, which made me in a state of activity and when I was filled with slack and boredom, I found a very encouraging father to achieve my success my doctor **Prof. Dr. Esam El Seidy.**

Thanks, and appreciation also to **Dr. Naglaa Mohamed**, who provided me with encouragement, assistance and supervision to carry out scientific research and provided me with fruitful and valuable information. I pray to God to make it in the balance of her good deeds.

Thanks, and appreciation also to **Dr. Mohamed Hassan Abdel Aziz**, Associate Professor of Scientific Computing, Faculty of Computers and Information, Ain Shams University, for assistance and support during the research.

Special thanks to **Prof. Dr. Hassan Ramadan**, Head of the Department of Basic Sciences, Faculty of Computers and Information, Ain Shams University, for his support and follow-up to finish the scientific research.

Praise to Allah, God of the worlds.

Thank you all

CONTENT

СНА	PTER	Z (I)	1
DEF	INITI	ONS AND BASIC CONCEPTS	1
1.1.	Intr	oduction	1
1.2.	Wha	at is game theory?	3
1.2	2.1	Classification of games	5
1.3.	Gan	ne Theory, Strategic behaviour and Strategy	6
1.3	3.1.	Strategic Behaviour	7
1.3	3.2.	Strategic game	7
1.4.	Exte	ensive and Normal Form	8
1.4	.1.	Normal form _Bimatrix Description	8
1.4	1.2.	Extensive Game	11
1.5.	Best	Response and Nash equilibrium	12
1.5	5.1.	Best Response	13
1.5	5.2.	Nash equilibrium	14
1.5	5.3.	Nash Equilibrium in Biomatrix Games	16
1.5	5.4.	Nash Equilibrium of Pure Strategy	19
1.5	5.5.	Nash Equilibrium of Mixed Strategy	21
1.6.	Stoc	chastic games	26
1.7.	Rep	eated games	26
1.8.	Infi	nite repeated games	27
1.9.	App	lications of Game Theory	27
1.10.	N	etwork science	30
СНА	PTER	? (II)	41
Beha	viour	and the Prisoner's Dilemma	41
2.1.	Intr	oduction	41
2.2.	Coo	perative Games	43
2.3.	Evo	lutionary Biology	43
2.3	3.1.	Kin selection	45
2.3	3.2.	Direct reciprocity	45

2.3.	3. Indirect reciprocity	46
2.4.	Prisoner's Dilemma game	47
2.4.	1. Two prisoner's dilemma	49
2.4.	2. Three prisoner's dilemma	51
2.4.	3. Iterated prisoner's dilemma	53
2.5.	Evolutionarily stable strategies (ESS)	56
2.5.	1. Hawk-Dove Game	58
2.5.	2. Studying the ESS	59
2.6.	Strictly Competitive Games	60
2.7.	Two population game	62
2.7.	1. Symmetric game theory	64
2.7.	2. Asymmetric Games	67
Chapt	ter III	68
Predic	cting Mood Behaviours of Prisoner's Dilemma Players	68
3.1	Introduction	68
3.2.	The problem VS. machine learning	70
3.3.	3P-IPD problem	70
3.4.	Reinforcement learning	75
3.5.	Moody players' detection	76
3.6.	Tempered players	77
3.7.	Natural-Tempered players	77
3.8.	Proposed prediction algorithm	78
3.9.	Experimental results	80
3.10.	Conclusion and future work	82
Refer	ences	87
Appei	ndix	96

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: A two-person game	10.
Figure 1. 2: ADVERTISING (payoffs in millions of dollars)	11.
Figure 1.3: Matching pennies in extensive game	12.
Figure 1. 4: Matching Pennies	14.
Figure 1. 5: Bach or Stravinsky	17.
Figure 1.6: Hawk-Dove Game	18.
Figure 1.7 : The Prisoner's Dilemma	18.
Figure 1.8: Matching Pennies	18.
Figure 1.9: Game tree for Microsoft-Google game	30.
Figure 2.1: The Payoff matrix	41.
Figure 2.2: Standard payoff matrix	44.
Figure 2.3: The payoff for the Hawk-Dove game	58.
Figure 3.1: The state machine diagram of the studied strategie	s 81.

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1: All cases in seven rounds
Table 3.2: The payoffs for player I when player II is using S_{32} 83.
Table 3.3: The payoffs for player I when player II is using S_{33} 83.
Table 3.4: The payoffs for player I when player II is using S_{36} 83.
Table 3.5: The payoffs for player I when player II is using S_{38} 83.
Table 3.6: The payoffs for player I when player II is using S_{63} 84.
Table 3.7: The payoffs for player I when player II is using S_0 84.
Table 3.8: The payoffs for player I when player II is using S_{48} 84.
Table 3.9: The payoffs for player I when player II is using S_{49} 84.
Table 3.10: The payoffs for player I when player II is using $S_{52}85$.
Table 3.11: The payoffs for player I when player II is using $S_{54}85$.
Table 3.12: The payoffs for player I when player II is using $S_{63}85$.
Table 3.13: The payoffs for player I when player II is using $S_0 \dots 85$.
Table 3.14: List of invading strategies for tempered players 86.
Table 3.15: List of invading strategies for natural-tempered players
86.

SUMMARY

This thesis provides important insights into game theory. Game theory enters our everyday life and treatment. There is no successful business without cooperation. Part of our life requires cooperation with each other and the other part is struggle and competition to reach the best. The study of game theory makes players make the best choices considering certain conditions to obtain results. Each player chooses his strategy without looking at the other player. Each player gets the same payoff as the other player if they choose the same strategy in single community games. in two population game, the payoff will be different and unequal. This thesis consists of three chapters on several topics in game theory.

The first chapter is an introduction to the thesis and contains many important and basic terms in game theory, an example of this is the concept of the game and its content and examples of games, including the prisoner's dilemma and the most important games in game theory. Other very important games in game theory are mentioned. It also contains the concept of Nash equilibrium, which is a very important concept for game theory.

The second chapter concerned with two population game. The prisoner's dilemma was explained more broadly in terms of two or three players. It contains the most basic definitions that we need in game theory. We also talked about cooperation and likened it to human cells and mentioned three types. There is direct cooperation such as the

exchange of goods and the obtaining of money by one party which is considered indirect cooperation.

The third chapter focused on the prisoner's dilemma in three players, and the players were divided into two groups, "Tempered players and Natural-Tempered players". We used a programming language to get the best strategy for the players in each group. We collected similar strategies in these two groups and studied if an individual meets all the players in his group, what is the best strategy in this group. The results of this chapter were published in Journal of Mathematical and Computational Science in 2021 under name Elseidy, Essam, Reda, Naglaa M and El-Salam, Salsabeel M A B D, Predicting mood behaviors of prisoner's dilemma players (2021), 4650-4667, 11(4).

CHAPTER (I)

DEFINITIONS AND BASIC CONCEPTS

1.1. Introduction

We are humans can't live without each other, and surprisingly, sometimes production and exchange require some degree of cooperation between individuals, but relationships can also lead to destructive conflicts. We seem to have survived those relationships. Human history is both a history of wars and a history of successful cooperation. Many human relationships have the potential for cooperation and communication, as well as conflict and danger. There are many examples of relationships between husband and wife, brothers, countries, administrative, trade unions, neighbours, students, and teachers, etc [1].

All over the world people interact which sometimes is collaborative, for example when collaborators are successfully collaborating on a project. In other cases, the relationship is competitive, for example, two or more companies are competing for market share. In each case, they take it over, despite the obstacles that are hard to imagine and is called a strategic position because we have to think about how others around us choose their actions.

Parlor games were studied early in an attempt to formulate optimal strategies. In 1713, James Waldegrave solved a particular card game and sent it to his colleagues Nicolas Bernoulli and Pierre-Rémond de Montmort which coincides with modern theory. In the nineteenth

century, the equilibrium models of oligopoly were explored by Augustin, and bargaining problems were addressed in the context of exchange in economics.

In 1913, the first formal theorem for games (a result of chess) was proved. Emile Borel gave the concept of a strategy then, in the 1920s, 1930s, and 1940s, John von Neumann gave a fairly remarkable work, presenting a real and rigorous general theory of strategic positions called game theory. Von Neumann and Oskar Morgenstern explicate Game Theory, which explains how games are represented mathematically accurately and behaviour analysis can be applied to a small class of strategy. The game theory became a very important and wonderful work with the work of John Nash in the middle of the century and the creation of concepts between non-cooperative and "cooperative" theoretical models.

In 1950, John Nash explained that limited games have a point of equilibrium, where by each player chooses the best option for him in light of the other players' choices. In the 1950s and 1960s, game theory was applied to problems of politics and war in theory. Additionally, it has found applications in evolution, biology, sociology, and psychology. In 1994 Nash, John Harsani, and Reinhard Celten won the Nobel Prize in Economics. Historically, developments in game theory have been economics, but the game theory has featured interesting applications in fields as diverse as biology, computer science, social science, and business.

1.2. What is game theory?

Game theory is a combination of mathematical theory. Mathematics was translated through game theory into language theory by Newman. The common language to write about this game is competition, an analytical resource that can be used to explain the game theory, current behaviour to be explained, or business strategies. It is used in particular in the sciences to analyse long-term situations such as biology or sociology. For example, there may be situations with an animal in which cooperation has developed for mutual benefit.

The game is a complete set of rules. Everyone in it is called a player. The player makes a decision called a move or an action. The action is not the same as the strategy. The strategy is the plan of the game. It is the move that the player chooses in all possible positions. Every player in the game must be a rational player. Which means that they try to win the game and optimize for the playoffs. The others are also aware of their optimal payoffs.

The game has some rules, players and some strategies which has at least two players. The players make decision. It isn't necessary that the player is single person. The players may be producers, organization or nation. The strategy is the action from players. The player's feasible strategies are the rules. Every player has the number of strategies which is finite. They are known by all players. There are two types of strategies are the pure strategy and mixed strategy. The moves of game consist of simultaneous game or sequential game. Each player in the game doesn't know the reply of the other players. Every player has payoff after the game is over which depends on the motive of game.

The returns of the game are profits, utility or money. It is expressed by payoff functions.

We will introduce the basic assumptions and basic concepts of game theory. Classifications include games (cooperative and non-cooperative games), games with mixed and pure strategies, and the most important concept in game economic theory involving conflicting interests. Usually, the game consists of several players who have to choose between different strategies, which affect the game's gain or benefit. The primary goal is not to defeat fellow players but to maximize the player's own (expected) referred to as zero-sum games.

Regardless of what others do, any player can act with their best behaviour. However, the repetition of some behaviours of others is in some ways related to behavioural outcomes. Thus, the most important aspect of game theory is that the choice between alternative behaviour depends largely on what others are doing [2].

Therefore, game theory is concerned with analysing all conditions in the presence of all possible strategies, but one strategy improves the private benefit of the individual, in game theory it is not enough to consider your own strategies, but the player must also anticipate the best strategies for the opponent.

Typical classic games are used to predict the outcome of a variety of strategies consisting of a limited number of players (or agents) seeking to maximize some individual goal.