



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY

**Validity of Low Dose CBCT Acquisition
Protocols for Mandibular Canal Visibility.**

“Ex vivo Comparative Study”

*A thesis protocol submitted for partial fulfillment of the
master degree of science in Oral and Maxillofacial
Radiology, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain-Shams University*

by

Islam Mohammed Mohammed Zaki

*B.D.S Faculty of dentistry, Nahda University, Beni-
suef (2013)*

Supervisors

Dr. Walaa Mohammed Hamed

*Associate Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial
Radiology,*

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain-Shams University

Dr. Mostafa Saad Ashmawy

*Associate Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial
Radiology,*

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain- Shams University

Contents	page
List of figures	I
List of tables	1
List of abbreviations	1
I. Introduction and review of literature	1
1.Mandibular canal anatomy	1
1.1Varaitions in Mandibular canal (MC) anatomy and configuration	1
1.2 Variations in MC number	6
1.3 Mental nerve and Anterior loop (AL) of the mental nerve	9
1.4 Mandibular canal location in dentulous and edentulous jaws	11
2. Radiographic visibility of the MC	13
2.1 MC shape and degree of visibility	13
2.2 Imaging modalities used for MC visibility	15
2.3 Injury of the inferior alveolar (IA) nerve and CBCT importance	18
3. CBCT imaging	25
3.1 Basic principles of CBCT imaging	25
3.1.1 Image acquisition:	25
3.1.2 Image Export and display	30
3.1.3 Image fusion	31
3.2 CBCT effective doses and estimated imaging radiation risk	35
3.3 CBCT Practical Dose Reduction	41

Strategies	
3.3.1 Adjusting Exposure Settings	42
3.3.2 Adjusting Scanning Parameters	45
3.4 Factors affecting CBCT image quality	47
4. Dental applications of Low Dose CBCT	51
II. Aim of the study	58
III. Materials and methods	59
1. Specimens Collection:	59
2. Study design	59
3. Inclusion and exclusion criteria	59
4. Specimens Preparation:	60
5. Image acquisition protocol:	60
6. Image analysis and export:	62
7. Scoring and visibility index:	68
8. Statistical Analysis	72
IV. Results	73
1. Intra and Inter-observer reliability:	73
2. Comparison between the three acquisition protocols regardless of dentition status	75
3. Comparison between the three acquisition protocols at dentulous areas	82
4. Comparison between the three acquisition protocols at edentulous areas	86

5. Comparison between dentulous and edentulous areas	93
6. Comparison between total scores of the three protocols	101
V. Discussion	113
VI. Conclusion and Recommendations	120
<u>Arabic Summary</u>	

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig.	Figure Title	Pg.
1.	Illustrated diagram of the anatomy of trigeminal nerve.(5)	1
2.	Schematic illustration of the final extension of the IA nerve (10)	2
3.	Variations of the vertical position of the IA nerve (16)	4
4.	Classifications of the course of the mandibular canal on panoramic radiographs: A. Linear curve, the canal curve is approximately a straight line; B. Elliptic curve, the curve is approximately symmetrical; C. Spoon-shaped curve, the canal has an approximate spoon shape that is similar to an asymmetric elliptic arc; and D. Turning curve, the course is unsmooth and has a turning point.	5
5.	BMC Naitoh classification: (A) The forward canal subdivided into with confluence or (B) without confluence. (C and D) The bucco-lingual canal from the buccal or lingual wall. (E) The dental canal reached to the root apex. (F) The retromolar canal opened to the retromolar region.	8
6.	Panoramic radiographs showing variations of the	10

	MF position in the vertical plane in relation to premolars apex: classification by Fishel et al. A = the MF situated coronal to the apex; B = the MF situated at the apex; C = the MF situated apical to the apex	
7.	showing MC patterns (a) linear pattern, (b) perpendicular pattern (c) anterior Loop, (d) Cross-sectional view of MF.	11
8.	The position of MC (C1 and C2) in horizontal plane influences the apparent height (H1 and H2) of bone available for implant accommodation.	17
9.	A = the reconstructed panorama, B and C = axial and cross-sectional CBCT cuts shows full dental implant intrusion into mandibular canal in 35 jaw dental segment region. There is direct mechanical trauma - IA nerve transection.	18
10.	a) shows a cropped OPG where the MC is difficult to localize and b) a reformatted CT image in the sagittal plane of the same mandible, showing the location of the canal	20
11.	(a) Reformatted cross-sectional CT images demonstrating grooving of the endosteal surface of the lingual cortical plate (arrows) as a guide to the location of the inferior dental canal. (b) The inferior dental canal may appear as a small circular opacity on reformatted cross-sectional	22

	images of the mandible (arrow heads).	
12.	Vertical position of the mandibular third molar and the MC according to the penetration depth: a) the root at the upper half of the mandibular canal; b) the root at the lower half of the MC (arrow indicates the mandibular canal)	25
13.	A) show the fan beam on which spiral CT (left) is based interrogates only a slice of tissue, whereas the CBCT (right) interrogates a 3-dimensional region within a 360° rotation. B) diagram show CBCT reconstructs the 3-dimensional images by generating voxel directly, each with its own attenuation coefficient	26
14.	Schematic diagram showing the stages of CBCT image production. During a 180°–360° rotation of the X-ray tube and detector, multiple planar <i>basis projections</i> (raw data) are acquired. The raw data is then reconstructed into a volumetric dataset (primary reconstruction), which is subsequently reformatted as sequential, contiguous orthogonal slices (secondary reconstruction). The data may be further reformatted (e.g., volume rendering, curved reformatting, maximum intensity projection).	28
15.	OnDemand3D VBR process. (A) Anterior cranial base selection (blue rectangle) in multiplanar slices of pretreatment CBCT and (B) post-treatment CBCT. (C) Multiplanar slices view of pre-treatment	34

	(grey) and post-treatment (red) CBCT files before superimposition and (D) after superimposition at the anterior cranial base.	
16.	Visual comparison of an original axial CBCT image at a 0.125 mm × 0.125 mm × 0.125 mm voxel size (a) and a resampled image at a 1 mm × 1 mm × 0.125 mm voxel size (b). The increased voxel size reduces both spatial resolution and noise	49
17.	Axial (a), cross-sectional (b), and sagittal (c) CBCT images showing beam hardening artifacts between titanium implants. Note the magnitude of artifact produced by implants compared with root canal fillings.	51
18.	a: Mandible was placed in a container filled with water. 18b: container was positioned on the platform in the CBCT machine using and midline laser beam was adjusted to the mandibular midsagittal plane	61
19.	A) the default 3*3 fusion module layout [primary acquisition (top), secondary (middle) and fused MPR images (bottom)]. B) the selected 2*3 fusion module layout in Ondemand 3D software [primary acquisition (top), secondary acquisition volume (bottom)]. C) superimposition of the primary and secondary volumes using autoregistration tool (red arrow).	65
20.	A) Axial, sagittal HR protocol images showing the	66

	adjustment of the coronal plane perpendicular to the buccal and lingual cortical borders and parallel to the long axis of the root respectively and the resultant coronal image. B) Axial, sagittal and coronal ST protocol images showing the same alignment of the coronal plane and hence the same resultant coronal image	
21.	A) Axial, sagittal and coronal HR protocol images showing the previously adjusted coronal image as in (Fig.1). B) Axial, sagittal and coronal QS+ protocol images showing the same alignment of the coronal plane and hence the same resultant coronal image as HR and ST protocols.	67
22.	showing a PowerPoint presentation with a dark background and all scan acquisition parameters were hidden from the captured images	68
23.	showing MC visibility scores: A) MC with full corticated border (Score 5). B) MC with partially corticated border (Score 4). C) MC is clearly visible without corticated border (Score 3). D) MC is moderately visible without corticated border (Score 2). E) MC is not visible (Score 1).	69
24.	Example of data sheet used to record visibility scores for each mandible	70
25.	Bar chart representing prevalence of different scores in the three protocols regardless of dentition	82

	status at the molar area	
26.	Bar chart representing prevalence of different scores in the three protocols regardless of dentition status at the premolar area	86
27.	Bar chart representing prevalence of different scores in the three protocols at the dentulous molar areas	86
28.	Bar chart representing prevalence of different scores in the three protocols at the dentulous premolar areas	93
29.	Bar chart representing prevalence of different scores in the three protocols at the edentulous molar areas	93
30.	Bar chart representing prevalence of different scores in the three protocols at the edentulous premolar areas	96
31.	Bar chart representing prevalence of different scores in dentulous and edentulous areas with HR protocol	96
32.	Bar chart representing prevalence of different scores in dentulous and edentulous areas with ST protocol	98
33.	Bar chart representing prevalence of different scores in dentulous and edentulous areas with QS+ protocol	100
34.	Box plot representing median and range values for total scores of the three protocols	102

LIST OF TABLES

No .	Title	Pg.
1.	Effective dose from conventional dental imaging techniques (i.e. intraoral, panoramic and cephalometric radiograph), <i>CBCT</i> and <i>MDCT</i> in μSv . The range of the effective dose and median values (in parentheses) from dental CBCT in μSv (according to the Tables by European Commission 2012)	38
2.	Acquisition protocols and exposure parameters used in image acquisition	62
3.	Results of <i>Kappa</i> statistic for intra-observer reliability regarding different protocols	74
4.	Results of <i>Kappa</i> statistic for inter-observer reliability regarding different protocols	75
5.	Descriptive statistics and results of Friedman's test for comparison between the three acquisition protocols regardless of dentition status	80
6.	Descriptive statistics and results of Friedman's test for comparison between the three acquisition protocols at the dentulous areas	84
7.	Descriptive statistics and results of Friedman's test for comparison between the three acquisition protocols at the edentulous areas	91

8.	Descriptive statistics and results of Mann-Whitney U test for comparison between dentulous and edentulous areas with HR protocol	94
9.	Descriptive statistics and results of Mann-Whitney U test for comparison between dentulous and edentulous areas with ST protocol	97
10.	Descriptive statistics and results of Mann-Whitney U test for comparison between dentulous and edentulous areas with QS+ protocol	99
11.	Friedman's test for comparison between total scores of the three acquisition protocols	101