



Efficacy of Hounsfield Unit of CT in Prediction of the Chemical Composition of Urinary Stones

Thesis

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master
Degree in Urology*

By

Ahmed Salah Mahmoud Ahmed Shehata
M.B.B.Ch 2014

Under supervision of

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Rafik El-Halaby

*Professor of Urology
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

Assist. Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Saafan

*Assistant Professor of Urology
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University*

*Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2020*

Acknowledgment

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **ALLAH**,
the Most Kind and Most Merciful.*

*I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound
gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Rafik El-Halaby**,
Professor of Urology Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams
University for his keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable
advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible
the completion of this work.*

*I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude
and thanks to **Assist. Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohamed
Saafan**, Assistant Professor of Urology Faculty of Medicine
- Ain Shams University, for his kind care, continuous
supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great
assistance throughout this work.*

Ahmed Salah Shehata

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	ii
List of Abbreviations.....	v
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work.....	4
Review of Literature	
Epidemiology of Urinary Calculi.....	5
Management of Urinary Calculi	32
Metabolic Evaluation and Pharmacological Recurrence, Prevention	36
Stone Analysis by Fourier Transform Infra-Red (FTIR) Spectroscopy	55
Patients and Methods.....	70
Results	83
Discussion	100
Summary	109
Conclusion	110
References	112
Arabic Summary	—

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	The causative factors for uric acid stone formation	26
Table (2):	Evaluation of single stone formers	37
Table (3):	General preventive measures.	43
Table (4):	Correlations between different constituents of calculi and physiopathological factors	56
Table (5):	Accuracy of stone analysis methods in identification of substance	58
Table (6):	Common components found in renal calculi.....	59
Table (7):	Different bands for urinary calculi	69
Table (8):	Demographic data.	83
Table (9):	Relation of CT HU with demographic and characteristics of the studied stones	87
Table (10):	Different superscript letter indicate significant difference between rows.	91
Table (11):	Correlation between CT HU and age of the studied patients.	94

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	States of saturation.....	9
Figure (2):	The steps of stone formation including nucleation and aggregation.....	12
Figure (3):	Calcium stones pathogenesis.....	15
Figure (4):	Pathway of oxalate metabolism in the liver	21
Figure (5):	Infrared spectra of (a) calcium oxalate monohydrate and (b) calcium oxalate dihydrate	64
Figure (6):	Infrared spectra of a- Hydroxyapatite b- Brushite c-Ammonium magnesium phosphate.	65
Figure (7):	Infrared spectra of a-Uric acid b- Ammonium urate.....	66
Figure (8):	Infrared of cysteine.	68
Figure (9):	Infrared spectra of calcium oxalate calculi.....	74
Figure (10):	FTIR study of a stone from our patient, male 39 years, Radiopaque with 1149 H.U density. Identified as pure calcium oxalate monohydrate.....	75
Figure (11):	Infrared spectra of uric acid calculi.....	76
Figure (12):	FTIR study of a stone from our patient , female, 33 years, radiolucent with 488 H.U density. Identified as uric acid anhydrous stone.	76
Figure (13):	FTIR spectroscopy of a child with pure cysteine stone.	77
Figure (14):	FTIR study of a stone from our patient, male, 31 years, radiopaque with 991 H.U density.....	77

List of Figures Cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (15):	a: FTIR spectrum of urinary stone containing struvite	78
Figure (16):	FTIR study of a stone from our patient, male, 52 years, poorly radiopaque with 719 H.U density. Identified as struvite by FTIR.....	78
Figure (17):	FTIR spectrum of urinary stone containing COM and calcium phosphate	79
Figure (18):	FTIR study of a stone from patient, male, 40 years, heavy opaque with 1561 H.U density	80
Figure (19):	FTIR spectrum of mixed stones(calcium oxalate + uric acid	81
Figure (20):	FTIR study of a stone from our patient, male,53 years, poorly radiopaque with 816 H.U density	81
Figure (21):	The percentage of stones according to the gender of the patients	84
Figure (22):	The percentage of stones according to their location.....	84
Figure (23):	The percentage of stones according to their chemical type	85
Figure (24):	The percentage of stones according to CT HU.....	85
Figure (25):	The percentage of stones according to the radiopacity on PUT.....	86
Figure (26):	Relation of CT HU with age of the studied patients	88
Figure (27):	Relation of CT HU with location of stone	88
Figure (28):	Relation of CT HU with demographic and characteristics of the studied stones.	89

List of Figures Cont...

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (29):	Relation of CT HU with radiopacity of the studied stones.....	90
Figure (30):	Relation between CT HU and location of stones.....	92
Figure (31):	Relation between CT HU and type of stones.....	92
Figure (32):	Relation between radiopacity and location of stones.. ..	93
Figure (33):	Correlation between CT HU and age of the studied patients.	94

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
AGT	Glyoxylate aminotransferase
AH.....	Absorptive hypercalciuria
AH2.....	Absorptive hypercalciuria type 2
AmU	Ammonium urate
BMI.....	Body mass index
BRS.....	Brushite
C O.....	Carbonyl
CAP.....	Carbonate apatite
COD	Calcium oxalate dehydrate
COM	Calcium oxalate monohydrate
CT	Computed tomography
FTIR	Fourier transform IR
GRHPR.....	Glyoxylate reductase / hydroxypyruvate reductase
HHRH.....	Hereditary hypophosphatemic rickets with hypercalciuria
HIV	human immunodeficiency virus
HU	Hounsfield unit
IRS.....	Infrared spectrometry
IVU	Intravenous urography
LDH.....	Lactate dehydrogenase
MAP.....	Magnesium ammonium phosphate
MET.....	Medical expulsive therapy
NCCT.....	Noncontrast CT
PCNL.....	Percutaneous nephrolithotomy
PH I	Primary hyperoxaluria type I
PH.....	Primary hyperoxaluria
PLS	Partial least square
PTH	parathyroid hormone

List of Abbreviations Cont...

Abb.	Full term
RCTs.....	Randomized controlled trial
UA2.....	Uric acid dehydrate
UAuric	Acid anhydrate
XDH.....	Xanthine dehydrogenase

INTRODUCTION

Urolithiasis is a prevalent disease in developed countries where its prevalence ranges between 4% and 20%. Determination of the chemical composition of urinary stones helps in perioperative evaluation, treatment and prophylaxis (*Jepperson et al., 2013*).

Urolithiasis is a worldwide problem, affecting all geographical, cultural and racial groups. The lifetime risk is 10-15% in the developed world but can be as high as 20-25% in Middle East. Nephrolithiasis is largely a recurrent disease with a relapse rate 50% in 5-10 years and 75% in 20 years. CT is now recommended by many as the initial diagnostic imaging technique in patients with suspected renal or ureteric calculi as unenhanced CT has sensitivity and specificity of 97% and 96% respectively for detecting urolithiasis. CT is considered as the reference standard method in for diagnosing urolithiasis, but it is also a major source of exposure to radiation during medical imaging (*El-Assmy et al., 2013*)

The radiographic diagnosis of cystine or uric acid stones can help in both management of symptomatic stones and prevention of stones growth. Uric acid stone formers can be treated with urinary alkalinization and/or urease inhibitors, and cystine stone formers can undergo medical therapy with thiol-binding agents and urinary alkalinization. Fortunately, many studies have correlated non contrast CT findings to stone

composition, which helps in selecting the most appropriate therapeutic modality (*Torricelli et al., 2014*).

Although clinical guidelines include the need to analyze the calculi, a little information were mentioned regarding the recommended methodology to be used, however; infrared spectrometry (IRS) and x ray diffraction are the most mentioned techniques (*Straub et al., 2005; et al., 2001*).

Urinary stone analysis can be done through multiple methods, chemical analysis has been traditionally used most widely due to its ease and low cost even if this technique is time consuming and necessitates large stone samples. Moreover, the various hydration degrees cannot be determined through chemical methods and chemical methods cannot distinguish between similar crystalline entities, e.g. Calcium oxalate monohydrate vs Calcium oxalate dihydrate, Apatite vs. Brushite, etc. Therefore, chemical methods have repeatedly proved to be unreliable in numerous quality control programs, with error rates in identifying certain components up to 90% (*Basiri et al., 2012*).

Infra-Red spectrometry is based on interaction of infrared light with the covalent bonds of the compounds present in calculus, leading to the emergence of some characteristic bands that make their identification possible. Its main advantages are that it can be applied on very small samples (less than 4 mg) and it allows the identification of both

crystalline and amorphous substances (proteins, amorphous phosphates, lipids, etc.). But the need for experience in the identification of the spectra and the lack of other applications in routine laboratories mean that Infra-Red spectrometry is available at very few centers. The Infra-Red spectrometry has a high analytical quality and practicability, and is considered as a very useful methodology in the study of calculus (*V. K. Singh & Rai, 2014*).

There is a fact of an increasing incidence of urolithiasis including new and recurrent urinary calculi, indicating that the disease has a high level of both acute and chronic morbidity. There is a considerable variation in the rates of urolithiasis based on climate, geography, diet, fluid intake, gender, occupation, genetics, and age. Therefore, understanding the epidemiology of stone disease is the cornerstone for improving patient care and possibly prevention (*Sorokin et al., 2017*).

AIM OF THE WORK

To make a reliable correlation between the chemical composition of the urinary calculi and its Hounsfield unit on CT scan, upon which we can depend on it for prediction of the type of the urinary calculi.

Chapter 1**EPIDEMIOLOGY OF URINARY
CALCULI**

Nephrolithiasis is a highly prevalent disease all over the world with rates ranging from 5–9% in Europe, 7 to 13% in North America,, and 1–5% in Asia. There is conclusive evidence for an increasing incidence of stones in the United States with recent data stating an overall prevalence of stone disease in 8.8% of the population (men 10.6%, women 7.1%) which is estimated as an increase from the 5.2% prevalence of kidney stone disease from 1988 to 1994. There was a documentation of this rise over a 40-year period in Japan where the estimated annual incidence of upper urinary tract stones in 2005 was 134.0 per 100,000 (192.0 in men and 79.3 in women) compared with 54.2 per 100,000 in 1965. The annual incidence has increased in all age groups except during the first three decades of life, taking into consideration that the peak age for both men and women has also increased (*Sorokin et al., 2017*).

Gender:

Adult men are noticed to be more commonly affected by urolithiasis than adult women. It is estimated that men are affected two to three times more frequently than women, this percentage was calculated according to multiple indicators

including inpatient admissions, outpatient clinic visits, and emergency department visits (*Strope et al., 2010*).

However, there has been some evidence of a narrowing of the gender gap which may be linked to diet changes and increase in metabolic syndrome including rates of obesity and diabetes (*Sorokin et al., 2017*).

Also, the lower incidence of urolithiasis in females compared to males has been attributed to the protective effect of estrogen against formation of stones in premenopausal women, due to enhanced renal calcium reabsorption and reduced bone resorption (*Bansal et al., 2013*).

Age:

The incidence of stones varies by age with low incidence in childhood and the elderly and peaks in the fourth to sixth decades of life (*Saigal et al., 2005*).

There are differences in stone composition in different age groups. For example, calcium oxalate dihydrate (COD) stones are more common in young stone former in both sexes. In Europe, stone composition differs and affected by age and gender (*Knoll et al., 2010*).

Race:

Unfortunately, the data comparing urolithiasis differences between races within one country were only