



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكرو فيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية
التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY



Cairo University

REPAIR OF REINFORCED CONCRETE BEAMS SUBJECTED TO TORSION USING FERROCEMENT

By

Sarah Mohammed Yousef AL-Zabidi

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2020

REPAIR OF REINFORCED CONCRETE BEAMS SUBJECTED TO TORSION USING FERROCEMENT

By

Sarah Mohammed Yousef AL-Zabidi

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Hany Ahmed Abdalla

Prof. Dr. Ghada Diaa Abd-Elhmeed

Professor of Concrete structures
Structural Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Building Material Research and Quality
Control Institute, Housing & Building national
Research Center, Egypt

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2020

REPAIR OF REINFORCED CONCRETE BEAMS SUBJECTED TO TORSION USING FERROCEMENT

By

Sarah Mohammed Yousef AL-Zabidi

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. **Hany Ahmed Abdalla**, (Thesis Main Advisor)
Professor of Concrete Structures, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. **Ghada Diah Abd-Elhameed**, (Advisor)
Professor, Housing & Building National Research Center, Egypt

Prof. Dr. **Akram Mohamed A. Torkey**, (Internal Examiner)
Professor of Concrete Structures, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. **Ahmed Ali Hassan Abd El-Wahab**, (External Examiner)
Professor of Concrete Structures, Housing and Building National
Research Center.

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2020

Engineer's Name: Sarah Mohammed Yousef AL-Zabidi
Date of Birth: 11/10/1992
Nationality: Yemeni
E-mail: Saramoha959@gmail.com
Phone: 01151444422
Address: 43-AL-Manial Street – Giza – Egypt
Registration Date: 1/3/2017
Awarding Date: ... /... /....
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Structural Engineering



Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Hany Ahmed Abdalla
Prof. Dr. Ghada Diao Abd-Elhmeed

Examiner:

Prof. Dr. Hany Ahmed Abdalla (Thesis Main advisor)
Prof. Dr. Ghada Diao Abd-Elhmeed (advisor)
Professor, Housing & Building National Research Center
Prof. Dr. Akram Mohamed A. Torkey (Internal examiner)
Prof. Dr. Ahmed Ali Hassan Abd El-Wahab (External examiner)
Professor, Housing & Building National Research Center

Title of Thesis:

Repair of Reinforced Concrete Beams Subjected to Torsion Using Ferrocement

Key Words:

RC beams; Repairing; Ferrocement; Torque; Rotation angle

Summary:

In this research, an experimental study was performed to investigate the torsional behavior of RC beams repaired by ferrocement. Two main variables were considered, the influence of ferrocement configuration and ferrocement number of layers. The experimental investigation includes testing of six RC beams. The specimens were tested under pure torsion. The results showed that using all ferrocement strengthening technique improves the ultimate load carrying capacity of all the repaired beams except the U-jacket technique, where Full wrapping is the with 2-layer most effective configuration followed by 1-layer full wrapping configuration. In addition, ferrocement 2 layer strips give higher torsional strength than ferrocement 1 layer strips. The results show that number of layer play a vital role in strengthening, where the higher number of layer and the full wrapping increase in effective confinement compared to the lower number of layer and strips wrapping.

Disclaimer

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have cited them in the references section.

Name: Sarah Mohammed Yousef Al-Zabidi

Date: / /

Signature:

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost, I thank ALLAH, the most gracious, the ever merciful for helping me finishing this work.

I would like to express my deep and sincere to my research my supervisor (Prof. Dr. Hany Abdalla and Prof.Dr. Ghada Diaa) for their exemplary guidance, advices, useful suggestions, support and their great efforts to achieve my objectives.

I want also to thank my mother for her continuous encouragement and supports. To my deceased father thank you for showing me that the key to life is education. My family and all my friends who always help me for the success. I would not have to achieve this goal without their help and support.

I would also like to thank all the people who have helped me in any way to accomplish my thesis as my friends.

Dedication

To my mother, my deceased father, my family and all my friends.

Table of Contents

Disclaimer	I
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	II
Dedication	III
Table of Contents	IV
List of Tables	VII
List of Figures.....	VIII
List of Symbols, abbreviations, and Nomenclature	X
Abstract.....	XI
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 Research Objectives	1
1.3 Scope.....	1
1.4 Thesis Outline	2
Chapter 2: Literature Review	3
2.1 General.....	3
2.2 Methods of application of torsional moment.....	3
2.3 Strengthening of beams subjected to torsion.....	5
2.3.1 Strengthening with Ferrocement	5
2.3.2 Strengthening with steel plates	8
2.3.3.Strengthening with FRP composites	11
2.3.4.Strengthening with CFRP composites	12
2.3.5.Strengthening with GFRP composites	20
2.3.6 Strengthening with Aramid fiber composites	23
2.3.7 Strengthening with other techniques.....	23
Chapter 3: Experimental work.....	27
3.1 Introduction.....	27
3.2 Materials	27
3.2.1 Concrete Materials	27
3.2.1.1 Fine aggregate	27
3.2.1.2 Coarse aggregate	28
3.2.1.3 Cement	28
3.2.1.4 Water.....	28
3.2.1.5 Admixtures.....	28
3.2.1.6 Reinforcement steel	30
3.2.2 Strengthening Materials	30
3.2.2.1 Silica Fume (SF)	30
3.2.2.2 Wire-Meshes (Ferrocement Reinforcement)	32
3.2.2.3 Steel Anchors	33
3.3 Concrete Mix Design, Pouring and Curing	33
3.3.1 Concrete Mix Design	33
3.3.2. Formwork.....	34

3.3.3 Pouring	35
3.3.4 Curing	36
3.3.5. Pouring, Curing and Tests of Cubes	36
3.3.6 Mortar Mix Design	38
3.4 Specimens.....	38
3.5 Test Setup & Instrumentation	41
3.5.1 Test Setup.....	41
3.5.2 Test Procedure	43
3.5.3 Instrumentation	44
3.5.3.1 Hydraulic jack	44
3.5.3.2. LVDT s	45
3.5.3.3 Supports	46
3.5.3.4. White painting	47
3.6. Repairing and Testing of Specimens	47
3.6.1. Repairing of Specimens	47
3.6.2 Details of repairing techniques	50
3.6.3. Testing of Specimens	51
CHAPTER 4: Experimental Results	52
4.1 Introduction.....	52
4.2 Tested Specimens	52
4.2.1 Control Specimen (B-C)	52
4.2.2 Specimen R-F-1	54
4.2.3. Specimen R-F-2	57
4.2.4. Specimen R-U	60
4.2.5 Specimen R-S-1	63
4.2.6 Specimen R-S-2	66
Chapter 5: Analysis and Discussion of Results	69
5.1 Introduction.....	69
5.2 Group A	70
5.2.1 Mode of Failure.....	70
5.2.2. Cracking Torque	75
5.2.3 Ultimate Torque	75
5.2.4. Maximum Rotational Angle	76
5.2.5 Torsional Toughness	77
5.3 Group B	78
5.3.1. Mode of Failure.....	78
5.3.2. Cracking Torque	82
5.3.3. Ultimate Torque	82
5.3.4. Maximum Rotational Angle	83
5.3.5. Torsional Toughness	84
5.4 Group C	85
5.4.1. Mode of Failure.....	85
5.4.2. Cracking Torque	89
5.4.3. Ultimate Torque	89
5.4.4. Maximum Rotational Angle	90
5.4.5. Torsional Toughness	91

5.5. Group D	92
5.5.1. Mode of Failure.....	92
5.5.2. Cracking Torque	96
5.5.3. Ultimate Torque	96
5.5.4. Maximum Rotational Angle	97
5.6 Cost Effectiveness of The Repairing Materials	99
Chapter 6: Conclusions and Recommendations	103
6.1 Summary	103
6.2 Conclusions	103
6.3 Recommended for future work.....	104
References	105

List of Tables

Table 3. 1: Properties and Specifications of the Addicrete (BVF) [30].....	29
Table 3. 2 : physical properties of silica fume (ACI 234 R, 1996).....	30
Table 3. 3 :physical properties of silica fume (ACI 234 R, 1996).....	30
Table 3. 4: Mix design of concrete per meter cube.....	33
Table 3. 5: Mix design of concrete per meter cube.....	38
Table 3. 6: The Parameters Studied and The Repairing Methods of Specimen	40
Table 5. 1: Classification of Specimen Groups	69
Table 5. 2: Failure Modes for Group A	70
Table 5. 3: Results of the Group A Specimens	78
Table 5. 4: Failure Modes for Group B.....	78
Table 5. 5: Results of the Group B Specimens	85
Table 5. 6: Failure Modes for Group C.....	85
Table 5. 7: Results of the Group C Specimens	92
Table 5. 8: Failure Modes for Group D	92
Table 5. 9: Results of the Group D Specimens	99
Table 5. 10: Total Cost of Repairing Materials for all Repaired Specimens	99
Table 5. 11: Cost of Repairing Materials for each Repaired Specimen.....	100
Table 5. 12: Increase in Capacity per Unit Cost for all Repaired Specimens.....	101
Table 5. 13: Cost per Unit increase in capacity for all Repaired Specimens	101

List of Figures

Figure 2. 1: Primary or equilibrium torsion at an edge beam [1]	3
Figure 2. 2: Secondary or compatibility torsion at an edge beam [1]	4
Figure 2. 3: Test setup of all beam specimens [2]	4
Figure 2. 4: Test setup of all beam specimens [3]	5
Figure 2. 5: Torsion Test ring [5]	6
Figure 2. 6: Details of Repair Configurations [8]	9
Figure 2. 7: Configuration of steel stirrups and steel links techniques [3]	10
Figure 2. 8: Strip Wrapping Configurations by Hii and Al-Mahaidi [13]	13
Figure 2. 9: Details of strengthening configurations [2]	13
Figure 2. 10: Details of repair configurations [10]	14
Figure 2. 11: Details of strengthening configurations [14]	15
Figure 2. 12: Strengthening configurations of tested beams [16]	17
Figure 2. 13: Details of strengthening schemes of tested beams [18]	18
Figure 2. 14: Details of strengthening configurations of tested specimens [20]	19
Figure 2. 15: Details of strengthening schemes of tested specimens [22]	20
Figure 2. 16: Configuration of GFRP stirrups system [3]	21
Figure 2. 17: Details of strengthening schemes [23]	22
Figure 2. 18: Details of strengthening configurations [27]	24
Figure 3. 1: The Fine Aggregate in the Concrete Mix	27
Figure 3. 2: The Utilized Coarse Aggregates in the Concrete Mix	28
Figure 3. 3: The Addicrete (BVF) Utilized in the Concrete Mix	29
Figure 3. 4: The silica fume Utilized in the matrix Mix	31
Figure 3. 5 : The used expanded mesh	32
Figure 3. 6: Ferrocement samples before and after tension test.	32
Figure 3. 7: Preparation of Specimens for Casting	35
Figure 3. 8: Concrete Pouring Process of R.C. Specimens	36
Figure 3. 9: Pouring, Curing and Testing of Cubes	37
Figure 3. 10: Concrete Dimensions of all RC Specimens	39
Figure 3. 11: Detailing of Specimens reinforcement cage	40
Figure 3. 12: Types of Ferrocement Configuration	41
Figure 3. 13: Test Setup	42
Figure 3. 14: Schematic of Torque Calculation	44
Figure 3. 15: Schematic of Rotation Angle Calculation & LVDT Locations	45
Figure 3. 16: Schematic of the Utilized Supports Locations	46
Figure 3. 17: Make hole in the beams	47
Figure 3. 18: The Concrete surface after Removing the plastic paint	48
Figure 3. 19: Fixing the ferrocement mesh	48
Figure 3. 20: Paint the concrete with adibond65	49
Figure 3. 21: Applying the mortar matrix on beams	49
Figure 3. 22: the details of repair configurations.	51
Figure 4. 1: Crack Propagation and Mode of Failure for the Control Specimen (B-C)	53
Figure 4. 2: The Torque - Rotation Angle Relation for the Control Specimen (B-C)	53
Figure 4. 3: The Crack Pattern of the Preloading Stage of Beam (R-F-1)	55
Figure 4. 4: Crack Propagation and Mode of Failure for Beam (R-F-1) after Repairing	56
Figure 4. 5: The Torque - Rotation Angle Relation for the Specimen R-F-1	56
Figure 4. 6: The Crack Pattern of the Preloading Stage of Beam (R-F-2)	58
Figure 4. 7: Crack Propagation and Mode of Failure for Beam (R-F-2) after Repairing	59
Figure 4. 8: The Torque - Rotation Angle Relation for the Specimen R-F-2	59
Figure 4. 9: The Crack Pattern of the Preloading Stage of Beam (R-U)	61
Figure 4. 10: Crack Propagation and Mode of Failure for Beam (R-U) after Repairing	62
Figure 4. 11: The Torque - Rotation Angle Relation for the Repaired Specimen (R-U)	62
Figure 4. 12: The Crack Pattern of the Preloading Stage of Beam (R-S-1)	64
Figure 4. 13: Crack Propagation and Mode of Failure for Beam (R-S-1) after Repairing	65
Figure 4. 14: The Torque - Rotation Angle Relation for the Repaired Specimen (R-S-1)	65
Figure 4. 15: The Crack Pattern of the Preloading Stage of Beam (R-S-2)	67