

Effect of Central Dialysis Fluid Delivery System (CDDS) on IL6 & CRP Levels in Prevalent Haemodialysis Patients

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in **Internal Medicine**

By

Ahmed Elsayed Elsayed Mohamed Moustafa
M.B.B.Ch.

Misr University for Science and Technology

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr/ Hesham Mohamed Elsayed

Professor of Internal Medicine and Nephrology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr/ Badawy Labeeb Mahmoud

Professor of Nephrology
Faculty of Medicine, Misr University for Science and Technology

Dr/ Mohamed Saeed Hassan

Lecturer of Internal Medicine and Nephrology Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University
2020



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **Allah** the Most Beneficent and Merciful.

I wish to express my deepest thanks, gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Dr/ Wesham Mohamed Elsayed**, Professor of Internal Medicine and Nephrology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his meticulous supervision, kind guidance, valuable instructions and generous help.

Special thanks are due to **Prof. Dr/ Badawy**Labeeb Mahmoud, Professor of Nephrology, Faculty of

Medicine, Misr University for Science and Technology, for

his sincere efforts, fruitful encouragement.

I am deeply thankful to **Dr/ Mohamed Saeed Hassan**, Lecturer of Internal Medicine and Nephrology,
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his great
help, outstanding support, active participation and guidance.

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Ahmed Elsayed Elsayed Mohamed Moustafa

Tist of Contents

| Title | Page No. |
|--|----------|
| List of Tables | 5 |
| List of Figures | 6 |
| List of Abbreviations | 8 |
| Introduction | 1 - |
| Aim of the Work | 14 |
| Review of Literature | |
| ■ Inflammation in Chronic Kidney Disease | 15 |
| ■ IL-6 & CRP in Hemodiaylsis | 30 |
| Central Dialysis Fluid Delivery Systems | 43 |
| Patients and Methods | 57 |
| Results | 59 |
| Discussion | 74 |
| Summary | 83 |
| Conclusion | 85 |
| References | 86 |
| Arabic Summary | |

Tist of Tables

| Table No | . Title Pag | ge No. |
|---------------------|---|----------|
| Table 1: | Interpretation of serum CRP and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease | |
| Table 2: | Microbiological Quality Standard for Dialysis Fluids in Japan, excerpt from reference: | or n |
| Table 3: | Standard on the management of endotoxic retentivefilter (ETRF), excerpt from reference | n n |
| Table 4: | Demographic characteristics regardin group 1 (CDDS) and group 2(SPDS): | g |
| Table 5: | Comparison between group 1 (CDDS) and group 2 (SPDS) regarding laboratory data:. | d 60 |
| Table 6: | IL-6 and CRP in group 1 (CDDS) and (SPDS): Correlation between IL-6 and different | 61 |
| Table 7. | variables in group 1 (CDDS): | 63 |
| Table 9: | variables in group 1 (CDDS): Correlation between IL-6 and differen | 64 it |
| Table 10: | variables in group 2 (SPDS): Correlation of hs CRP with different variables in group 2 (SPDS): | ıt |
| Table 11: | Multivariate analysis to explore predictor of IL-6 within CDDS group | rs |
| Table 12: | Multivariate analysis to explore predictor of hs CRP within CDDS group | 70 |
| Table 13: Table 14: | Multivariate analysis to explore predictor of IL-6 within SPDS group | 71 |
| 14010 111 | of hs CRP within SPDS group | |

List of Figures

| Fig. No. | Title | Page No. |
|------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Figure 1: | Causes and consequences of inflamm in CKD | |
| Figure 2: | Showing differences between thin low (left) and porous high-flux member (right) regarding permeation adsorption of bacterial proceeding procedure activation and removal of inflammatory substances. | ranes and lucts, f pro- |
| Figure 3: | IL-6 Structure | 30 |
| Figure 4: | Interleukin-6 and mechanisms for recisignalling. | |
| Figure 5: | CRP Structure | 37 |
| Figure 6: | Scheme of the single-patient dialysis delivery system (SPDDS), central concentration delivery systems (CCDS), and central delivery system (CDDS) | trates entral |
| Figure 7: | Outline of the newly development of CI | DDS 55 |
| Figure 8: | Describes the processes used by the C toachieve dialysis fluid purification in a chart. | a flow |
| Figure 9: | Shows fully automated dialysis sy (FADS) (a) Automated guiding of blood the dialyzer. (b) Blood return and collected lines indicate the flow of blood blue lines indicate the flow of the dialyses. | d into ction. , and |
| Figure 10: | Comparison between hsCRP in a 1(CDDS) and group 2(SPDS) | |
| Figure 11: | Comparison between IL6 in group 1(C and group 2(SPDS) | |

Tist of Figures cont...

| Fig. No. | Title | Page No. |
|------------|--|----------|
| Figure 12: | Association between IL6 and hs (group 1(CDDS). | |
| Figure 13: | Comparison between IL6 and Dry we group 1(CDDS). | • |
| Figure 14: | Comparison between IL6 and Albu group 1(CDDS). | |
| Figure 15: | Comparison between IL6 and hs (group 2(SPDS) | |

Tist of Abbreviations

| Abb. | Full term |
|--------------|--|
| AAMI | Advancement of Medical Instrumentation |
| AGEs | Advanced glycosylated end-products |
| <i>AMP</i> | Adenosine MonoPhosphate |
| <i>BCM</i> | $ Biocompatible\ membrane$ |
| <i>CCDS</i> | Central concentrates delivery systems |
| <i>CDDS</i> | Central Dialysis Fluid Delivery System |
| CFUs | Colony-forming units |
| <i>CKD</i> | Chronic kidney disease |
| <i>CRP</i> | Serum reactive protein |
| | Cardiovascular disease |
| <i>ESRD</i> | End-stage Renal disease |
| <i>ET</i> | |
| <i>ETRFs</i> | Eendotoxin retentive filters |
| | Fully Automated Dialysis System |
| | Glomerular filtration rate |
| gp130 | |
| <i>HD</i> | |
| | Hemodiafilteration |
| | Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis |
| <i>IFEHD</i> | Internal Filtration-Enhanced Dialysis |
| <i>IL-1</i> | |
| <i>IL-6</i> | Interleukin-6 |
| <i>IL-6R</i> | Iinterleukin-6 receptor |
| Jak | Janus kinase |
| <i>JSDT</i> | Japanese Society for Dialysis Therapy |
| | Logarithmic reduction values |
| <i>MCO</i> | |
| NHANES | National Health and Nutrition |
| | Examination Survey III |
| <i>OS</i> | Oxidative stress |
| <i>PD</i> | Peritoneal dialysis |
| <i>PDGF</i> | Platelet derived growth factor |
| | Protein-energy wasting |

Tist of Abbreviations cont...

| Abb. | Full term |
|--------------|---|
| | D: |
| 1 0 | Picogram per millilitre |
| | Polytetra fluoro ethylene |
| <i>RAK</i> | Rapidly Accelerated Fibrosarcoma |
| <i>RAS</i> | Rapidly Accelerated Sarcoma |
| RO | Reverse Osmosis |
| SOCS | Suppressor of cytokine signalling proteins |
| <i>SPDDS</i> | Single patient dialysis fluid delivery system |
| STAT | Signal transducer and activator of |
| | transcription |
| TNFalpha | Tumor necrosis factor alpha |
| <i>Tyk2</i> | Tyrosine Kinase |
| μg/mL | Microgram per millilitre |

.on

Introduction

nd-stage kidney disease (ESKD) is a significant and growing public health problem, associated with high morbidity, mortality and diminished quality of life. ESKD also generates disproportionately high costs to the health care system as patients require renal replacement therapy, for long-term survival (*Li et al.*, 2018).

Incidence of ESRD is increasing worldwide at an annual growth rate of 8%, far more than the population growth rate which is of 1.3%. Only about 15% of those with ESRD are receiving hemodialysis worldwide, with about 80% being treated in Europe, North America, and Japan (*Sanyaolu et al.*, 2018).

In the 2015 Global Burden of Disease Study, kidney disease was the 12th most common cause of death, accounting for 1.1 million deaths worldwide. Overall CKD mortality has increased by 31.7% over the last 10 years, making it one of the fastest rising major causes of death (*Neu et al.*, 2017).

Millions die each year because they do not have access to affordable treatment, Over 2.5 million people worldwide currently receive treatment, yet this number may only represent 10% of people who actually need treatment to live (*Couser et al.*, 2015).



Despite recent advances in end-stage renal disease management, morbidity and mortality in (ESRD) population remain exceptionally high. Dialysis is considered as a chronic inflammatory state, as there is increased production and decreased clearance of pro-inflammatory cytokines, oxidative stress and acidosis, chronic and recurrent infections, including those related to dialysis access where extracorporeal factors, such as impurities in dialysis water, microbiological quality of the dialysate, and bioincompatible factors in the dialysis circuit play an additional role (Akchurin & Kaskel, 2015).

In patients with renal failure, the systemic concentrations of both pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines are several times higher than that in healthy individuals. HD results in activation of cytokines, which can induce protein catabolism In HD patients, inflammatory apoptosis. promote mediators, such as IL-1, IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor alpha, cause synthesis and release of C-reactive protein (CRP), decreased albumin, prealbumin, and increased homocysteine and endothelin I (Raj et al., 2007).

IL-6 increases during HD and is associated with cardiovascular mortality, malnutrition, and resistance erythropoietin (EPO) in haemodialysis patients. It is considered a prognostic marker in these patients (Hasuike et al., 2009).



In hemodialysis, more than 90% of the dialysate delivered to the dialyzer is water, so water purity for dialysis is of critical importance for patient health and outcome, as hemodialysis patients are exposed to more than 400 L of water per week. Contrast this with a person with normal kidney drinking only less than 15 L of water per week and this purification depends on water treatment systems which decrease water contamination (Ahmad, 2005).

Water contamination can lead to anemia, alterations in blood pressure and acid-base balance, neurological issues, bone disease, increased levels of inflammatory indices on long run and more, and patients may suffer acute or chronic problems from exposure to substandard dialysate (D'Amato-Palumbo et al., 2013).

Currently available dialysis fluid delivery systems include the single-patient dialysis fluid delivery system (SPDDS) (or individual dialysis fluid delivery system) and central concentrates delivery systems (CCDS), as well as the CDDS (central dialysis fluid delivery systems) (Hideki et al., 2016).

In SPDDS, the patient monitor contains a dialysis fluid supply equipment. Presently, it is considered as the global standard for dialysis treatment. Many of its models require separate water treatment equipment. Aside from the advantage of the relatively free location, SPDDS allows for the



individualization of dialysis fluid composition in order to meet unique patient needs (Hideki et al., 2016).

The central dialysis fluid delivery system (CDDS) simplifies the maintenance and supervision involved by enabling the combined management of dialysis fluid for multiple persons, preparation of cleaning and antiseptic solutions, and delivery of these to each patient monitor. It is a cost-effective, laborsaving, time-tested system with good microbial safety, which has been used for 45 years. Reliability is required in CDDS systems, as a single abnormality can affect multiple patients negatively (Hideki et al., 2016).

The CDDS has been used exclusively in Japan since 1960s. Approximately 88 % of dialysis machines are patient monitors with CDDS. It is widely known that the survival rate of Japanese hemodialysis patients is the highest in the world. This is mainly due to the development of dialysis and blood purification devices and development of the dialysis system. The introduction of the central dialysis fluid delivery system (CDDS) in the 1960s enabled the provision of stable dialysis conditions for all patients, which made a marked contribution to the field of dialysis (Takahashi et al., 2016).

AIM OF THE WORK

It is to compare the effect of central dialysis fluid delivery system (CDDS) versus single-patient dialysis fluid delivery system (SPDDS) in purification of water that used in dialysate, and its effect on inflammatory markers (CRP & IL-6) levels in prevalent hemodialysis patients.

Chapter 1

Inflammation in Chronic Kidney Disease

Ind-stage kidney disease (ESKD) is a significant and growing public health problem, associated with high morbidity, mortality and diminished quality of life. ESKD also generates disproportionately high costs to the health care system as patients require renal replacement therapy, for long-term survival (*Li et al.*, 2018).

Incidence of ESRD is increasing worldwide at an annual growth rate of 8%, far more than the population growth rate which is of 1.3%. Only about 15% of those with ESRD are receiving hemodialysis worldwide, with about 80% being treated in Europe, North America, and Japan (*Sanyaolu et al.*, 2018).

In the 2015 Global Burden of Disease Study, kidney disease was the 12th most common cause of death, accounting for 1.1 million deaths worldwide. Overall CKD mortality has increased by 31.7% over the last 10 years, making it one of the fastest rising major causes of death (*Neu et al.*, 2017).

Millions die each year because they do not have access to affordable treatment, Over 2.5 million people worldwide currently receive treatment, yet this number may only represent