

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلو



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



MONA MAGHRABY



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الإلكترونى والميكروفيلم

جامعة عين شمس التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار



MONA MAGHRABY

COMPARATIVE STUDY OF BEAN GROWTH BY USING TRADITIONAL AND NANO PHOSPHATE FERTILIZERS

By

TAREK AHMED MOHAMED ABD EL-BAKY

B.Sc. Agric. (Soils), Cairo University, 2012

THESIS

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

In

Agricultural Sciences (Soil Science)

Department of Soil Science
Faculty of Agriculture
Cairo University
EGYPT

2021

Format Reviewer

Vice Dean of Graduate Studies

APPROVAL SHEET

COMPARATIVE STUDY OF BEAN GROWTH BY USING TRADITIONAL AND NANO PHOSPHATE FERTILIZERS

Master. Thesis In Agric. Sci. (Soil Science)

By

TAREK AHMED MOHAMED ABD EL-BAKY

B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Soil Science), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 2012

Approval Committee

Dr. AHMED ALI AHMED FARGHALI Professor of Materials Science and Nanotechnology, Faculty of Postgraduate Studies for Advanced Science Beni-Suef University
Dr. MOHAMED IBRAHIM DESOUKY HELAL
Professor of Soil Chemistry, Soil Science Dept., Faculty of Agric., Cairo University
Dr. MOHAMADY I. El-KHERBAWY
Professor of Soil Science, Faculty of Agric., Cairo University
Dr. SAMAA I. El-DEK
Professor of Materials Science and Nanotechnology, Faculty of Postgraduate
Studies for Advanced Science Beni-Suef University

Date: 30 / 12 /2021

SUPERVISION SHEET

COMPARATIVE STUDY OF BEAN GROWTH BY USING TRADITIONAL AND NANO PHOSPHATE FERTILIZERS

Master. Thesis In Agric. Sci. (Soil Science)

By

TAREK AHMED MOHAMED ABD EL-BAKY

B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Soil Science), Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 2012

SUPERVISION COMMITTEE

Dr. MOHAMADY I. El-KHERBAWY

Professor of Soil Science, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Dr. MONA F. ABD El-GHANY

lecturer of Soil Science, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Dr. SAMAA I. El-DEK

Professor of Materials Science and Nanotechnology, Fac. of Postgraduate Studies for Advanced Science, Beni-Suef University

Dr. YOUSSEF A. ABD El-AAL

Professor of Soil Science, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Name of Candidate: Tarek Ahmed Mohamed Abd El-Baky Degree: Master.

Title of Thesis: Comparative study of bean growth by using traditional and nanophosphate

fertilizers

Supervisors: Dr. Mohammadi. I. EL-kherbawy

Dr. Youssief Ali Abd El-Aal Dr. Mona Fawzy Abd El-Ghany

Dr. Samaa I. El-Dek

Department: Soil Science Date: 30 /12/2021

ABSTRACT

Recently, nanofertilizers are being tested as a new technology, either for soil or foliar applications, to improve food production and reduce environmental impact. Nano calcium phosphate (NCaP) was successfully synthesized, characterized and applied in this study. A pot experiment was carried out in two successive seasons in 2016 and 2017 on (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) plants to obtain the best phosphorus treatments. The results were applied in a field experiment during the 2018–2019 season. Single superphosphate (SSP) at 30 and 60 kg P₂O₅ fed⁻¹ and NCaP at 10%, 20% and 30% from the recommended dose were applied to the soil. Foliar application involved both monoammonium phosphate (MAP) at one rate of 2.5 g L⁻¹ and NCaP at 5% and 10% from the MAP rate. The results of all experiments showed that NCaP significantly increased the shoot and root dry weights and their nutrient contens in the yield components, the nutrient concentration and crude protein percentage in pods of the snap bean plants compared with traditional P. The greatest increase was obtained from a 20% NCaP soil application in combination with a 5% NCaP foliar application. The present study recommends using NCaP as an alternative source of P to mitigate the negative effects of traditional sources.

Keywords: snap bean; monoammonium phosphate; nano calcium phosphate fertilizer;

foliar application

DEDICATION

I dedicate this work to whom my heart felt thanks; to my mother, my wife and my sons for their patience and help along the period of my post graduation, as well as to my father's soul, my brothers and my uncle for all the support they lovely offered.

With My GRATITUD AND LOVE

Tarek

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to express my deepest thanks to ALLAH the Almighty who gave me the power, knowledge, tolerance and strength to do this work, wish to express my sincere thanks, deepest gratitude and appreciation to Dr. Mohammadi. I. Elkherbawy, soul Dr. Youssief Ali Abd El-Aal, professors of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Dr. Mona Fawzy Abd El-Ghany lecturer of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Dr. SAMAA Professor of Materials Science and Nanotechnology, Faculty of Postgraduate Studies for Advanced Science Beni-Suef University for suggesting the problem, supervision, continued assistance and their guidance through the course of study and revision the manuscript of this thesis and soul Dr. Mohmed Ali Ahmed Professor of Materials Science and Nanotechnology faculty of science cairo university Sincere thanks to sharing in supervision. Grateful appreciation is also extended to Special deep appreciation is given to my mother, my wife, my sons and my brothers.

With My GRATITUD Tarek

CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
1. The importance of phosphors in plant	4
2. Major problems of phosphors in soils of Egypt	5
3. Some suggestions to overcome the phosphor problems	6
4. Nanotechnology	8
a. Nanotechnology and its definition	8
b. Features fertilization of Nanotechnology	9
c. Nanophosphors fertilizers and its applications as Soil and foliar on	
plants	11
5. Bean plant (Phaseolus vulgaris L.).	16
Materials and Methods	18
Results and Discussion	25
1. Characterization of Calcium phosphate nanoparticles	25
a. X- ray diffraction pattern	
b. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	
c. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM	
d. Fourier Transformer Infra-Red (FT-IR)	
2. Pot experiment	31
a. Effect of Traditional and Nano Phosphorus Fertilizers on Shoot and	
Root Dry Weights of Snap Bean (phaseolus vulgaris L.)	
Plants	
b. Effect of Traditional and Nano Phosphorus Fertilizers on Nutrients	
(N, P and K) Content of Snap Bean (phaseolus vulgaris L.)	
Plants	
3. Field experiment	46
a. Effect of Traditional and Nano Phosphorus Fertilizers on Yield and	

its Components of Snap Bean (phaseolus vulgaris L.)	
Plants	
b. Effect of Traditional and Nano phosphorus Fertilizers on the	
Nutrient Concentrations in Pods of Snap Bean (phaseolus vulgaris	
L.) Plants	
SUMMARY	51
CONCLUSION	57
REFERENCES	58
APENDIX	
ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

No	Title	Page
1.	Some physical and chemical properties of soil used for the tow seasons	31
2.	Some physical and chemical properties of soil used for the filed exprement seasons	31
3.	The treatments of traditional and nano-P fertilizers tested	35
4.	d-spacing, 2 theta relative intensity and Miller indices (hkl) of the sample as extracted from the Xray data	40
5.	analysis. Characteristic transmittance infrared bands of brushite.	40
6.	Effect of different sources of P on shoot and root dry weights (g pot ⁻¹) of snap bean (<i>phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) plants at 45 days after sowing during the two seasons.	45
7.	Effect of different sources of P on the N content (mg pot ⁻¹) of snap bean (<i>phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) plants at 45 days after sowing during the two seasons.	53
8.	Effect of different sources of P on the P content (mg pot ⁻¹) of snap bean (<i>phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) plants at 45 days after sowing during the two seasons.	55
9.	Effect of different sources of P on the K content (mg pot ⁻¹) of snap bean (<i>phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) plants at 45 days after sowing during the two seasons.	57
	Effect of different sources of P on yield and its	
10.	components of Snap Bean (phaseolus vulgaris L.)	
	Plants.	62
11.	Effect of different sources of P on the nutrients	
	concentration (N, P and K) in pods of snap bean plants	65

LIST OF FIGURES

No	Title	Page
1.	X-Ray diffraction chest of the brushite	39
2.	Scanning Electron Micrograph (SEM) of brushite phase	41
3.	High Resolution Transmission of calcium phosphate nanoparticles	42
4.	Fourier Transformer Infra Red (FT-IR) spectra of nano calcium phosphate	43
5.	Effect of different sources of P on shoot and root dry weights (g pot-1) of snap bean (Phasoliece vergalise) plants at 45 days after sowing during the two seasons	46
6.	Effect of different sources of P on Root and root dry weights (g pot-1) of snap bean (phaseolus vulgaris L.) plants at 45 days after sowing during the two seasons	46
7.	Effect of different sources of P on the N content (mg pot-1) of snap bean (phaseolus vulgaris L.) of shoots plants at 45 days after sowing during the two seasons	54
8.	Effect of different sources of P on the N content (mg pot-1) of snap bean (phaseolus vulgaris L.) of Roots plants at 45 days after sowing during the two seasons	54
9.	Effect of different sources of P on the P content (mg pot-1) of snap bean (phaseolus vulgaris L.) of shoots plants at 45days after sowing during the two seasons	56
10.	Effect of different sources of P on the P content (mg pot-1) of snap bean (phaseolus vulgaris L.) of Roots plants at 45days after sowing during the two seasons	56
11.	Effect of different sources of P on the k content (mg pot-1) of snap bean (phaseolus vulgaris L.) of shoots plants at 45days after sowing during the two seasons	58
12.	Effect of different sources of P on the k content (mg pot-1) of snap bean (phaseolus vulgaris L.) of Roots plants at 45days after sowing during the two seasons	58

INTRODUCTION

Agricultural land loses fertility as a result of human activity, which affects crop production and leads to starvation. One of the critical determinants of soil fertility is soil phosphorus availability Shi et al. (2017) Globally, more than 40% of soils suffer from low available phosphorus Vance et al. (2001), and according to some estimates, there will be no phosphorus reserve in the soil by the year 2050 Cordell et al. (2011). Plants absorb 15-20% of phosphate in fertilizer added to the soil, while 80-90% rapidly converts to low-available forms Hedley et al. (2005). The soil of Egypt suffers from phosphorus deficiency El-Agrodi et al. (2011) and applied phosphorus is retained in the soil due to many factors (i.e., clay minerals and high soil pH) Devau et al. (2010). Due to multiple problems associated with traditional phosphate fertilizers, nanofertilizers could be a suitable alternative. Nanofertilization relies on reducing bulk material to less than 100 nm to give a high surface area-to-volume ratio Montalvo et al. (2015). Nanofertilizers are more soluble and reactive relative to their traditional counterparts Janmohammadi et al. (2016), easy to disperse with high tolerance for soil fixation Naderi et al. (2013), easily absorbed by plants, and released slowly to provide nutrients over long periods Rameshaiah and Jpallavi (2015). Liu and Lal. (2015a) found nanohydroxyapatite to be such an alternative phosphate fertilizer, thereby showing that nanofertilizers help minimize the quantity of added fertilizer while reducing fertilizer loss and pollution due to agricultural malpractice Liu and Lal. (2015b), Tulasi et al. (2015), Singh et al. (2017) and Marzouk et al. (2019). In Egypt, the main sources of phosphorus are superphosphates and rock phosphates, but in recent years, water-soluble phosphorus fertilizers, such as

monoammonium phosphate (MAP) and monopotassium phosphate (MPK), have been used along with traditional sources, especially during production of short-life vegetables such as the snap bean. These sources have high solubility and are popular in several countries for their high phosphorus content and excellent physical properties Rosen *et al.* (2014). Essential plant nutrients are generally applied as a soil or foliar application to obtain maximum economic yields. For nutrients required in high quantities, soil application is more common and effective. However, under specific circumstances, foliar fertilization is more effective and economic; in intensified cultivation, it has become a necessary agrotechnical procedure.

Rane *et al.* (2014) reported that calcium phosphate nanoparticles supplemented calcium and phosphate, the essential macronutrients required for profuse root proliferation. Calcium phosphate nanoparticles may help in the formulation of new nano growth promoter and nanofertilizers for agricultural use. Therefore, it could potentially help in reduction of the quantity of fertilizer applied to crops and contributing to precision farming, as it reduces fertilizer wastage and in turn environmental pollution due to agricultural malpractices.

Phosphorus is a critical and essential nutrient that limits the growth and production of plants, especially legumes, by affecting nodule formation and, thus, N-fixation Liu *et al.* (2018). In sustainable agriculture, the importance of legumes is increasing because they enhance the physicochemical properties of soil, increase organic matter content by leaving major quantities of crop residue and decrease the amount of nitrogen fertilizer. Snap bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) is one of the most important leguminous vegetable crops in the world. In Egypt, it is important for local consumption and second only to potatoes in the export trade FAO "Statistical Pocket Book" (2018). It is a conventional food in the human diet, rich in protein, complex carbohydrates and vitamins, low in fat, and considered an important source of potassium, thiamine, selenium, molybdenum, folic acid and vitamin B6 Abd El-Hakim (2014). The snap bean is one of the more sensitive crops to different kinds of environmental stressors, so it was selected for this study Bargaz *et al.* (2016) This study used the calcium phosphate nanoparticles that supplemented calcium and