

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



-C-02-50-2-





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرونيلم





جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة يعيدا عن الغيار













بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالأصل



A CLINICAL AND SCANNING ELECTRON MICROSCOPE

A CLINICAL AND SCANNING ELECTRON MICROSCOPE STUDY ON THE EFFECT OF ENAMEL MICROABRASION TECHNIQUE IN REMOVING SUPERFICIAL DECALCIFICATION DEFECTS.

A THESIS
Submitted In Partial Fulfillment Of the
Requirements
For The Master Degree
In
Pediatric Dentistry

By

Ranya Samir Aly

(B.D.S. Alex)

1992

Faculty Of Dentistry Alexandria University 1999

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Hafez Mahmoud.

Professor of Pediatric Dentistry & Public Health. Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University.

Dr. Soheir Abo El-Amayem.

Lecturer of Pediatric Dentistry.

Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University.

Dr. Hesham Osman.

Lecturer of Oral Histology.

Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University.

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

Arknowledgement

Owing to the blessing of God and the objective criticism of my dear supervisors this work has come to light.

I am greatly indebted to Professor Dr. Hafez Mahmoud, Professor of Pediatric Dentistry and Public Health, Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University, for his kind supervision, sincere guidance and enormous help throughout this work.

I greatly acknowledge with gratitude Dr. Soheir Abo El-Amayem, lecturer of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University. Her interest, sincerest effort and most valuable advice are indeed beyond the capability of words.

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to Dr. Hesham Ibrahim Osman, lecturer of Oral Histology, Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University, for his valuable effort, supporting attitude and most constructive comments.

I am most thankful to Professor Dr. Ahmed Abd El-Rahman, Professor of Community and Preventive dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria University for his immeasurable help and valuable suggestions.

Finally I would like to extend my wholehearted thanks and appreciation to my professors and colleagues in the Pediatric Dentistry department for their encouragement and support.

Dedication

To the soul of my mother

CONTENTS

- INTRODUCTION	1
- AIM OF THE WORK	29
- MATERIAL AND METHODS	30
- RESULTS	41
- DISCUSSION	56
- CONCLUSION	61
- RECOMMENDATIONS	63
- REFERENCES	64
- SUMMARY	
- ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF FIGURES

	Pag
Fig. 1: Photograph showing prema Introductory Kit.	3.
Fig. 2: Photograph showing contents of prema Intro. Kit	3.
(the material cups, prototype rotary mandrels &synthetic rubber tips.)	
Fig. 3: Photograph showing a patient with rubber dam and protective eyeglasses.	e <i>33</i>
Fig. 4: Photograph showing a preoperative view of a lower left	33
first molar with surface decalcification defects.	,
Fig. 5: Photograph showing the lower left first molar with rubber dam before treatment.	34
Fig. 6: Photograph showing a preoperative view of upper left central and lateral incisors with surface discolouration	34
and decalcification defects.	
Fig. 7: Photograph showing a postoperative view of the lower left	37
first molar with elimination of the decalcification defect.	
Fig. 8: Photograph showing a postoperative view of the upper left	37
central and lateral incisors with elimination of the defects.	
Fig. 9: Photograph showing a 3 months-follow up view of the	38
lower left first molar with no recurrence of the defects.	
Fig. 10: Photograph showing a 3 months-follow up view of the	38
upper left central and lateral incisors with no recurrence	
of the defects.	
Fig. 11: Scanning electron micrograph (SEM) of the facial surface	
of the enamel of control specimen showing normal intact	51
smooth enamel surface with several well definite perikymata.	

Fig. 12: Higher magnification of the previous figure showing natural	ılly
pronounced enamel prism ends which terminate at the depth	51
of the perikymata.	
Fig. 13: Scanning electron micrograph (SEM) of intact enamel	
surface of a tooth in group Ia after ten applications of	<u>5</u> 2
microabrasion compound showing irregular rough surface	
with significant abrasion and erosion and exposure of the	
underlying enamel prisms.	
Fig. 14: SEM of an intact enamel surface in group Ib following	
microabrasion and fluoride gel application showing thin	52
amorphous coating of the abraded enamel prisms obscuring	
the surface details.	
Fig. 15: SEM of an intact enamel surface of a tooth in group Ic after	
microabrasion, fluoride gel application and storage in	53
artificial saliva for three months showing a uniform surface	
coating of a dense compact mineral by-product layer.	
Fig. 16: SEM of both white-spot lesion (w) and its neighboring intac	t <i>53</i>
enamel prior microabrasion.	
Fig. 17: SEM following microabrasion of the white-spot lesion group)
II a demonstrating a smooth, compact mineralized surface	54
with the absence of the lesion.	
Fig. 18: SEM of enamel surface group II a after microabrasion of the	;
white-spot lesion showing partly removal of the surface	54
porosity of the lesion with the presence of several local	
micropits.	
Fig. 19: SEM of the white-spot lesion on the enamel surface group II	b
following microabrasion and fluoride gel application.	55
Fig. 20: Higher magnification of fig (14) showing the amorphous	
coating on the enamel surface.	55

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Pa</u>	ge
Table 1: Number of applications of prema compound required to	
eliminate the decalcification defects from teeth treated	
with fixed orthodontic brackets41	
Table 2: Number of applications of prema compound required to	
eliminate the decalcification defects from teeth treated	
with fixed bands42	2
Table 3: Number of applications of prema compound required to	
eliminate the decalcification defects and spots that developed	
in teeth due to extrinsic factor4	3
Table 4: The effect of treatment with prema compound on teeth with	
decalcification defects and spots immediately and 3 months	
after treatment4	4
Table 5: Comparison between the reading of the pulp tester for lower	
first molars, upper central incisors and upper lateral incisors	
before and immediately after treatment with microabrasion	
technique47	
Table 6: Comparison between the reading of the pulp tester for lower	
first molars, upper central incisors and upper lateral incisors	
before and 3 months after treatment with microabrasion	
technique49	

