

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم



جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات




يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأقراص المدمجة بعيدا عن الغبار





بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة





بالرسالة صفحات
لم ترد بالأصل



B1V0A9

BACTERIOLOGICAL AND SEROLOGICAL STUDIES ON MASTITIS IN COWS IN CLOSED FARMS

A Thesis Presented

By

Hany Michael Aziz Eshak

B.V.SC. (1986) Assiut University

M. V SC. (1996) Zagazig University

For the degree of Philosophy Doctor

In

Microbiology

(Bacteriology, Immunology, Mycology)

Under supervision

of

Prof. Dr. Mamdouh Abd El Ghani Abd El Aziz

Eimeratus Professor of Microbiology

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

Cairo University

Prof. Dr.

Jakeen Kamal El-Jakee

Professor of Microbiology

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

Cairo University

Dr.

Adel Fayk Farid

Cheif Researcher of Microbiology

Animal Health Research Institute

Dokki

(2002)

APPROVAL SHEET

This is to approve that the dissertation presented by **Hany Michael Aziz Eshak** for the Ph. D. Degree (**Bacteriology - Immunology - Mycology**) has been approved by the examining committee :

1- Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Ezzat El Sayed

Prof. and Chairman of Microbiology Department
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ismailia
Suez Canal University.

M. EZZAT

2- Prof. Dr. Mohamed Kamal Mohamed Mahmoud Refai

Prof. of Microbiology
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,
Cairo University.

Refai

3- Prof. Dr. Mamdouh Abd El Ghani Abd El Aziz

Prof. of Microbiology
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,
Cairo University.
(Supervisor)

M. Abd El Ghani

4- Prof. Dr. Jakeen Kamal Abd El Haleim El Jakee

Prof. of Microbiology
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,
Cairo University.
(Supervisor)

Jakeen El-Jakee

Date : 8/4/2002.

Acknowledgment

*I am extremely grateful to my **GOD** who gave me the ability and patience to finish this work.*

*I wish to express my high appreciation and sincere thanks to **Prof. Dr. Mamdouh Abd El-Ghani Abd El-Aziz**, Professor of Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, for his valuable supervision, guidance and continuous encouragement during supervision of this work.*

*I wish to express my warm thanks to **Prof. Dr. Jakeen Kamal Abd El-Halim El Jakee**, Professor of Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University for her supervision, advice, continual encouragement and continuous interest allover this work.*

*Special thanks is expressed to **Prof. Dr. Adel Fayek Farid** Professor of Microbiology, Animal Health Research Institute, for his advice guidance and supplying necessary facilities required throughout this work.*

*I wish to express my thanks to **Prof. Dr. Zeinab El Said** Professor of Microbiology, Animal Health Research Institute for her advice.*

*My sincere thanks and gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mervat Abd El Rahman** Professor and Head of Microbiology Department, Animal Health Research Institute, for her advice guidance and continuous help during this work.*

*I wish to express my high appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Soheir Shoukry Elias**, Professor of Microbiology and Head of Enterobacteriaceae Unit, Animal Health Research Institute, for her valuable assistance and continuous help.*

*Special thanks are expressed to **Dr. Emad Mokhtar Riad**, Assistant Professor of Microbiology, Animal Health Research Institute, for continuous help during this work.*

*Special thanks are expressed to **Dr. Emad Rizkalla Zaki**, Assistant Professor of Microbiology, Animal Health Research Institute, for continuous help during this work.*

Dedicated To
Prof. Dr. Adel Fayek
My Wife
My Daughter Clara
And
My Brother Akram

(I)
CONTENTS

	page
1. Introduction	1
2. Review of Literature	4
2.1. Incidence of mastitis	4
2.1.1. Incidence of subclinical mastitis	4
2.1.2. Detection of subclinical mastitis by using electrical conductivity test.	7
2.1.3. Incidence of clinical mastitis	10
2.2. Bacterial aetiology of mastitis	14
2.3.1. Serotyping of <i>E. coli</i> isolated from mastitic cows	35
2.3.2. Virulence factors of <i>E. coli</i>	37
2.3.2.1. Haemolytic activity	37
2.3.2.2. Congo red binding ability	38
2.3.2.3. Serum resistance	40
2.3.2.4. Invasiveness assay (Sereny test)	44
2.3.2.5. Stable toxin production	46
2.3.2.6. Haemagglutination activity	49
2.4. Vaccination	53
3. Material and Methods	59
3.1. Material	59
3.1.1. Samples	59
3.1.2. Media	59
3.1.2.1. Media used for isolation and cultivation of bacterial species	59
3.1.2.2. Media used for biochemical reactions	60
3.1.2.3. Media used for virulence tests	62

(II)

3.1.2.4. Media used for preparation of crud lipopolysaccharide (LPS)	
<i>E.coli</i> antigen.....	64
3.1.3. Reagents and Chemicals	64
3.1.4. Stain	65
3.1.5. Biological materials	65
3.1.6. Diagnostic Antisera	66
3.1.7. Laboratory animals	66
3.1.8. Portable Mastitis Detector (MAS-D-TEC)	67
3.1.9. Other materials	67
3.1.10. Materials used for ELISA	68
3.2. METHODS	69
3.2.1. Milk samples	69
3.2.1.1. Preparation of the udder	69
3.2.1.2. Detection of subclinical mastitis (by conductivity test)	69
3.2.1.3. Collection of milk samples	70
3.2.2. Bacteriological examination of milk samples	70
3.2.3. Identification of the isolates	71
3.2.3.1. Gram positive cocci	71
3.2.3.2. Gram negative rods	75
3.2.3.2.1. Serological identification of <i>E. coli</i>	77
3.2.3.3. Identification of <i>Pseudomonas</i> species	77
3.2.3.4. Identification of <i>A. pyogenes</i>	78
3.2.4. Detection of virulence factors for <i>E. coli</i> isolates	80
3.2.4.1. Haemolytic activity (haemolysin)	80
3.2.4.2. Congo red (C.R.) binding test	80
3.2.4.3. Serum resistance	80
3.2.4.4. Invasiveness assay (Sereny test)	82

(III)

3.2.4.5. Detection of stable enterotoxin (STa)	82
3.2.4.6. Haemagglutination test.....	83
3.2.5. Vaccination with Core antigen vaccine	84
3.2.5.1. Evaluation of core antigen vaccine	85
3.2.5.1.1. Preparation of Crude lipopolysacharide (LPS) <i>E. coli</i>	85
3.2.5.1.2. The indirect Enzyme linked immunosorbant assay	86
3.2.5.2. Statistical analysis	87
4. Results	89
5. Discussion	135
6. Summary	163
7. References	167
8. Arabic summary	

(IV)

List of tables

No. of table	page
1. Number of cows examined for samples collection.....	59
2. Identification between <i>S.aureus</i> and <i>S. epidermidis</i>	72
3. Biochemical reactions for identification of the following species of <i>Streptococcus</i> and <i>Enterococcus species</i>	74
4. Differentiation between members of family <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i>	76
5. Identification of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> isolates.....	78
6. Identification of <i>A. pyogenes</i> isolates.....	79
7. The frequency of subclinical mastitis by using conductivity test in the apparently normal milk samples collected from lactating cows in closed farms.....	91
8. The severity of mastitis by using the conductivity test in quarter milk samples.....	94
9. The incidence of clinical mastitis among the examined cows in closed farms.....	96
10. Incidence of bacterial species recovered from the examined cows.....	99
11. The number and percentages of bacterial species recovered from subclinical mastitic milk samples among the examined cows.....	102
12. Number and percentages of bacterial species isolated from clinical mastitic milk samples among the examined cows in closed farms.....	105
13. Incidence of environmental mastitis among examined milk samples.....	107
14. Types, number and percentages of different <i>E. coli</i> serotypes recovered from subclinical and clinical mastitic milk samples.....	109
15. Haemolytic activity of <i>E. coli</i> isolates.....	112
16. Congo red binding ability of <i>E. coli</i> isolates.....	112

(V)

17. Serum resistance activity of <i>E. coli</i> isolates.....	114
18. Detection of heat stable enterotoxin of <i>E. coli</i> isolates.....	114
19. Haemagglutination properties of <i>E. coli</i> isolates.....	115
20. Haemagglutination activity of <i>E. coli</i> isolates (159) recovered from the examined subclinical and clinical mastitic milk samples.....	116
21. Haemagglutination pattern of <i>E. coli</i> isolates (159) recovered from subclinical and clinical mastitic milk samples.....	118
22. Haemagglutination properties of <i>E. coli</i> isolates recovered from the examined subclinical and clinical mastitic milk samples.....	121
23. Virulence attributes of <i>E. coli</i> isolates (159) recovered from the examined samples.....	123
24. Virulence attributes of the haemolytic <i>E. coli</i> isolates (10).....	124
25. Virulence attributes of <i>E. coli</i> isolates showed growth activity in bovine serum (8).....	125
26. Virulence attributes of enterotoxogenic <i>E. coli</i> isolates (3).....	126
27. A collective table showing the different virulence attributes among typable <i>E. coli</i> isolates recovered from clinical and subclinical mastitic milk samples.....	128
28. The optical density reading of tested serum collected from cows of group No. 1.....	131
29. The optical density reading of tested serum collected from cows of group No. II.....	132
30. Statistical analysis of collective data of optical density readings of serum of vaccinated and control cows.....	134

List of figures

No. of figures	page
1. The frequency of subclinical mastitis by conductivity test in the apparently normal milk samples collected from lactating cows in closed farms.....	92
2. The incidence of clinical mastitis among the examined cows in closed farms.....	97
3. Incidence of bacterial species recovered from the examined cows.....	100
4. Single and mixed infection in subclinical mastitis.....	103
5. Incidence of single, double or triple infection in clinical mastitic milk samples.....	106
6. Percentages of different <i>E. coli</i> serotypes recovered from subclinical and clinical mastitic milk samples.....	110