## Salwa Akl



# بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

مركز الشبكات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات قسم التوثيق الإلكتروني



-Call +600-2

## Salwa Akl



## جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الإلكتروني والميكروفيلم

## قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها على هذه الأقراص المدمجة قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات





## Salwa Akl





بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة وبالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالأصل



B18395

## "Computer Modeling and Burnup Analysis in Light Water Nuclear Reactors"

#### Presented by

#### Basma Mahmoud Mohammed Fouad

A Thesis Submitted to Faculty of Science

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science (Nuclear Physics)

> Physics Department Faculty of Science Cairo University

> > (2010)

#### ABSTRACT

Student Name:

Basma Mahmoud Mohammed Fouad

Title of the thesis: Computer Modeling and Burnup Analysis in

**Light Water Nuclear Reactors** 

Degree: Master of Science

The purpose of this thesis is to study the feasibility of the Thorium/Uranium fuel cycle in heterogeneous Pressurized Water Reactors (PWR) core design. This thesis focuses on the neutronic and burnup analysis of the Thorium/Uranium fuel using the computer codes MCNPX and WIMS-D5. The design is based on the Whole Assembly Seed and Blanket (WASB) concept, in which the individual seed (Uranium) and blanket (Thorium-Uranium) units occupy one full-size PWR assembly in a checkerboard core configuration. The results of the present models are compared with the solution of the benchmark problems. Satisfactory agreement is obtained.

Keywords: Thorium Fuel cycle, Whole Assembly Seed and Blanket, Neutronic calculations

Supervisors:

1- Prof. Dr. Hesham Mohammed Mansour

2- Prof. Dr. Moustafa Aziz Abd El Wahab

Signature:

Prof. Dr. Gamal Abd El Naser

GM-Na>

Chairman of Physics Department

Faculty of Science- Cairo University

#### APROVAL SHEET FOR SUMISSION

### Thesis Title: Computer Modeling and Burnup Analysis in Light Water Nuclear Reactors

Name of candidate: Basma Mahmoud Mohammed Fouad

This thesis has been approved for submission by the supervisors:

1- Prof. Dr. Hesham Mohammed Mansour

Signature: H. Manto

2- Prof. Dr. Moustafa Aziz Abd El Wahab

Signature: Moustafa Aziz

Prof. Dr. Gamal Abd El Naser

Chairman of Physics Department Faculty of Science- Cairo University



Cairo University Faculty of Science

#### To WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

This is to certify that: Basma Mahmoud Mohamed Foad

Has attended and passed successfully the following postgraduate Courses as a partial Fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Master of Science:

- I. Advanced Quantum mechanics,
- 2. Group Theory,
- 3. Quantum Field Theory,
- 4. Theories of Nuclear interactions,
- 5. Molecular Spectroscopy,
- 6. Statistical and electrodynamics

This certificate is issued at his own request.

Date of birth: 15/10/1981

Place of birth: El-Sharkya

Controller

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

#### I would like to give my appreciations to

- Prof. Dr Hcsham Mansour, for his kind supervision and patience.
   He has been a great source of knowledge, encouragement and guidance.
- Prof. Dr Moustafa Aziz, for his supervision and continuous help, support and valuable guidance during this work.

I would like to express my deep appreciation to Prof. Dr Esmat Amin for her kind and enthusiastic help in both WIMS-input and computerization.

I would like also to thank all my friends in the National Center of Nuclear Safety and Radiation Control.

I am also grateful to my family, for their support and love.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	1
APPROVAL SHEET	П
MSC. COURSES	ΙП
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	IV
TABLE OF CONTENTS	V
LIST OF FIGURES	VII
LIST OF TABLES	X
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XI
Chapter 1 INRTODUCTION	1
1.1 Motivation and Objective	.4
1.2 Advantages of Thorium Fuel	10
1.3 Disadvantages of thorium Fuel	16
1.4 Historic and Current Status of Thorium Fuel	
Development	18
1.5 Literature Survey	21
Chapter 2 METHODOLOGY	28
2,J Theory	28
1- The Deterministic Method	29
2-The Monte Carlo method	30
2.2 Analysis Tools	35
- MCNPX	35
- WIMS-D5	36

2.3 Reference PWR Core	37
2.4 WASB Fuel Design.	39
- Single Pin Model	39
- Lattice Assembly model	42
Chapter 3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	45
3.1 Burnup Calculations for Single Pin	45
3.1.1 Evolution of The Multiplication Factor	45
3.1.2 Nuclide Mass Concentrations	47
3.1.3 Evolution of The flux	55
3.2 Burnup Calculations for Lattice Assembly	57
3.2.1 Evolution of The Multiplication Factor	57
3.2.2 Evolution of The Flux	58
3.2.3 Nuclide Mass Concentrations	59
3.2.4 Power Map.	65
3.2.5 Flux Map	73
Chapter 4 CONCLUSIONS	80
REFERENCES	84
APPENDIX A	89

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1:	History of the global nuclear capacity and reactors in power	
	industry	2
Figure 1.2:	Schematic diagram of the Pressurized Water Reactor	5
Figure 1.3:	Representative basic configuration of micro-heterogeneous	
	THO <sub>2</sub> /UO <sub>2</sub>	6
Figure 1.4:	SBU and WASB Assembly Configuration	8
Figure 1.5;	A standard 3-batch scheme for the seed and single batch for the blanket in checkerboard array	9
Figure 1.6:	Buildup/Depilation chain for elements 90-94 (Thorium through Plutonium)	13
Figure 1.7:	Neutron yield per neutron absorbed vs. incident neutron	
	energy	14
Figure 1.8:	Thermal neutron absorption cross-section of Th-232 and U-238 vs. neutron energy (McV)	1.5
	0 250 vs. heaten citeres (1.10 v )	15
Figure 2.1:	Schematic diagram of the Westinghouse 4-loop PWR	37
Figure 2.2:	Seed and Blanket Fuel Pin Unit Cell	39
Figure 2.3:	Lattice configuration	<b>4</b> 2
Figure 2,4:	Lattice calculation model from MCNPX geometrical plot	44
Figure 3.1:	K <sub>eff</sub> for seed pin predicted by present models as compared with other results [30] vs. burnup.	46
Figure 3.2:	K <sub>eff</sub> for blanket pin predicted by present models as compared with other results [30] vs. burnup.	46
Figure 3.3:	Th-232 concentration for blanket pin predicted by MCNPX, WIMS vs. burnup	47
Figure 3.4:	U-235 concentration for seed pin predicted by MCNPX, WIMS vs. burnup	49

Figure 3.5: U-233 and U-235 concentration for blanket pin predicted by MCNPX, WIMS vs. burnup	49
Figure 3.6: U-238 concentration for seed pin predicted by MCNPX, WIMS vs. burnup.	50
Figure 3.7: U-238 concentration for blanket pin predicted by MCNPX, WIMS vs. barnup.	50
Figure 3.8: Pu-239 and Pu-241 concentrations for seed pin predicted by MCNPX, WIMS vs. burnup.	51
Figure 3.9: Pu-239 and Pu-241 concentrations for blanket pin predicted by MCNPX, WIMS vs. burnup	51
Figure 3.10; Total fissile concentration for seed pin predicted by MCNPX, WIMS-D5 versus burnup	52
Figure 3.11: Total fissile concentration for blanket pin predicted by MCNPX, WIMS-D5 versus burnup	52
Figure 3.12: Total average neutron flux for seed pin predicted by MCNPX, WIMS vs. burnup	56
Figure 3.13: Total average neutron flux for blanket pin predicted by MCNPX, WIMS vs. burnup	56
Figure 3.14: K <sub>eff</sub> for lattice Predicted by MCNPX as compared with reference [30] vs. burnup	57
Figure 3.15: Total average Neutron Flux for lattice Predicted by MCNPX vs. burnup.	58
Figure 3.16: Th-232 concentration for blanket lattice predicted by MCNPX vs. burnup	59
Figure 3.17: U-235 concentration for seed lattice predicted by MCNPX vs. burnup.	61
Figure 3.18: U-233 and U-235 concentrations for blanket lattice predicted by MCNPX vs. burnup	 61

Figure 3.19: U-238 concentration for seed lattice predicted by MCNPX vs. burnup	62
Figure 3.20: U-238 concentration for blanket lattice predicted by MCNPX vs. burnup.	62
Figure 3.21; Pu-239 and Pu-241 concentrations for seed lattice predicted by MCNPX vs. burnup	63
Figure 3.22: Pu-239 and Pu-241 concentrations for blanket lattice predicted by MCNPX vs. burnup	63
Figure 3.23: Pin power distribution in the lattice at 0 MWd/KgHM	67
Figure 3.24: Pin power distribution comparison in the lattice at 0.5  MWd/KgHM	68
Figure 3.25: Pin power distribution in the lattice at 30 MWd/KgHM	69
Figure 3.26: Pin power distribution in the lattice at 60 MWd/KgHM	70
Figure 3.27: Pin power distribution in the lattice at 80 MWd/KgHM	71
Figure 3.28: Thermal flux distribution for the lattice at 0 MWd/KgHM in $10^{13}$ n/cm <sup>2</sup> .sec	75
Figure 3.29: Thermal flux distribution for the lattice at 0.5 MWd/KgHM in 10 <sup>13</sup> n/cm <sup>2</sup> .sec	76
Figure 3.30: Thermal flux distribution for the lattice at 30 MWd/KgHM in $10^{13}$ n/cm <sup>2</sup> .sec	77
Figure 3.31: Thermal flux distribution for the lattice at 60 MWd/KgHM in 10 <sup>13</sup> n/cm <sup>2</sup> .sec	78
Figure 3.32: Thermal flux distribution for the lattice at 80 MWd/Kgl IM in 10 <sup>13</sup> n/cm <sup>2</sup> .sec	79

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1: Nuclear power plants in commercial operation	3
Table 1.2: Estimated thorium resources worldwide	11
Table 1.3: Thorium utilization in different experimental and power	
reactors	19
Table 2.1: Operating Parameters for a Westinghouse 4-loop PWR	38
Table 2,2; Pin Cell Model Parameters (at 300 K)	40
Table 2.3: Temperature of each region for normal operation	40
Table 2.4: The initial fuel compositions (at 300 K)	41
Table 3.1: Plutonium Production in kg/GWe-yr	53
Table 3.2: Isotopic concentration (Seed: 155 MWd/KgHM, Blanket:	
80 MWd/KgHM)	54

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AGR Advanced Gas Cooled Reactor

ANL Argonne National Laboratory

B<sub>d</sub> Burnup duration

BNL Brookhaven National Laboratory

BOI. Beginning Of Life

BWR Boiling Water Reactor

CANDU Canada Deuterium-Uranium Reactor

CASMO-4 A multigroup transport code for burnup calculations

DOE Department of Energy

FBR Fast Breeding Reactor

HTGR High Temperature Gas Cooled Reactor

IAEA International Atomic Energy Agency

INEEL Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory

K<sub>eff</sub> Effective multiplication factor

KTF Kyung-hee Thorium Fuel

LMfBR Liquid Metal cooled Fast Breeding Reactor

LWR Light Water Reactor

LWBR Light Water Breeding Reactor

MA Minor Actinides

MCNP Monte Carlo N-Particle Transport Code System

MCODE (MCNP + ORIGEN)

MOCUP (MCNP4B + ORIGEN2)

MIT Massachusetts Institute of Technology

MEU Medium Enriched uranium