

Ain Shams University Faculty of Engineering Department of Architectural Engineering

Gated Residential Communities

Urban Equity and Segregation in Greater Cairo

By Muhammad Hachem Yucef

B.Sc. in Architecture, Faculty of Fine Arts, Helwan University, 2013

A Thesis submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for a Degree of Master of Science in Architecture

Supervision Committee

Prof. Dr. Morad Abdelkader

Professor of Architecture and Environmental Design, Architecture Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Elkhateeb

Professor of Architecture and Building Sciences, Architecture Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Doaa Kamaleldin Kamel Hassan

Associate Professor of Architecture, Architecture Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University



Ain Shams University Faculty of Engineering Department of Architectural Engineering

Submitted By: Muhammad Hachem Yucef

Thesis Title: Gated Residential Communities: Urban Equity and

Segregation in Greater Cairo

Degree: Master of Science in Architecture

The Jury Committee	Signature
Prof. Dr. Hesham Sameh Hussein Professor of Architecture, Architecture Department, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University	
Prof. Dr. Muhammad Ibrahim Gabr Professor of Architecture, Architecture Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University	
Prof. Dr. Morad Abdelkader Professor of Architecture and Environmental Design, Architecture Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University	
Prof. Dr. Ahmed Elkhateeb Professor of Architecture and Building Sciences, Architecture Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University	

Post Graduate Studies:

Approval Stamp	The research was approved on
	//
Faculty Council Approval	University Council Approval
	//

Researcher Data

Name Muhammad Hachem Yucef

D.O.B. 4th of June 1991

Email hachem.yucef@gmail.com

Contact No. +2 010 66355335

Undergraduate Bachelors of Architecture,

Faculty of Fine Arts Zamalek,

Helwan University, 2013.

Graduate Studies Commenced October 2014,

Department of Architecture, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

Date of Thesis Defense 28th of September 2020.

Professional Experience Architect/ Interior Designer.

Fields of Interest Urban Sociological Studies.

Declaration of Originality

I hereby declare that this thesis is my original work and that it has been written by me in its entirety. I have duly acknowledged all the sources of information which have been used in the thesis.

This thesis has also not been submitted for any degree in any university previously.

Mohamed Hachem Yucef

M. Hachem Incef

28th of September 2020

ABSTRACT

The extensive development of gated communities has been the most notable urban phenomenon in Egypt, especially in Greater Cairo's new cities. Meanwhile, equity has been a major assessment criterion in the global urban scene, yet local research lacks any sort of investigation addressing residents' perceived justice inside the confines of their gated communities. This study seeks to explore the extent of perceived justice experienced by residents of gated communities in Greater Cairo. Gated communities have been classified into three categories: Lifestyle, prestige, and security zones. The research is limited to the first two categories, for the rarity of the third one. The criteria for classification was used in selecting one lifestyle and one prestige community in each of the four cities of Cairo in which gated communities are condensed. The research is exploratory and was conducted using a quantitative data collection method: a questionnaire. Findings have shown that lifestyle communities' residents experience extremely low levels of equity, with a peripheral perception of distributive justice and a high perception of interactional justice, however they all perceived procedural injustice. On the other hand, Prestige community residents experienced high levels of equity, with an intensively positive perception of the three aspects of justice.

KEYWORDS: GREATER CAIRO, GATED COMMUNITIES, EQUITY, DISTRIBUTIVE JUSTICE, PROCEDURAL JUSTICE, INTERACTIONAL JUSTICE

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Professor Dr. Morad Abdelkader, for his precious and compact advice. Throughout my study Dr. Morad displayed a level of compassion and fund of knowledge that were admirable. The information Dr. Morad provided was always balanced, accurate, and right on target, especially when it came to discussing the main core of this thesis. Thanks Dr. Morad I would also like to thank Professor Dr. Ahmed Elkhateeb for his valuable support, whether scientific or emotional. Dr. Ahmed had a capacious knowledge base for statistical methods and analysis, besides providing thoughtful and accurate advice to complex problems that faced me in a down-toearth fashion, which could be easily comprehended. Thanks Dr. Ahmed In addition, I would like to thank my advisor Dr. Doaa Kamaleldin Kamel Hassan for her continuous support of my master's study and related thesis. She showed a great amount of patience, and always rectified my writings through her constructive criticism, and immense knowledge. Her guidance helped me in the time of research and writing of this thesis. I could not have imagined having a better advisor and mentor for my MSc study. Finally, I must express my very deep and affectionate gratitude to my mother for providing me with inexhaustible support and continuous encouragement throughout the years of my study and through the process of researching and writing this thesis. I cannot imagine this accomplishment would have been possible without her. Thank you.

Author **Muhammad Hachem Yucef**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	III
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	IV
TABLE OF CONTENTS	V
LIST OF FIGURES	VIII
LIST OF TABLES	XI
GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS	XV
INTRODUCTION	1
Debut	1
Statement of the Problem	3
Research Purpose and Question	4
Research Aim	4
Research Objectives	4
Research Structure	5
Conceptual Framework	8
CHAPTER 1: REVIEW OF GATING AND EQUITY	9
1.1. Gating in History and Theory	9
1.1.1. Early Gated Settlements	9
1.1.1.1 Ancient Egyptian gated cities	10
1.1.1.2. Roman gated cities	14
1.1.1.3. Chinese walled cities	19
1.1.1.4. Islamic gated cities	21
1.1.1.5. Old American gated cities	24
1.1.2. Modern Typology of Gating	28
1.1.2.1. Premature American gated communities	29
1.1.2.2. Defining gated communities	34
1.1.2.3. Classification of gated communities	
1.2. Gating in Modern-Day Cairo	
1.2.1. Cities in the desert	47
1.2.2. Greater Cairo as an urban area	51
1.2.3. The rise of gated communities in Greater Cairo	53
1.3. The Theory of Equity	60
1.3.4. The Three Dimensions of Justice	61

1.3.4.1. Distributive justice	61
1.3.4.2. Procedural justice	62
1.3.4.3. Interactional justice	63
1.3.5. Assessment using equity	64
1.4. Chapter Conclusions	65
CHAPTER 2: METHODOLOGY	66
2.1. Research Design.	66
2.2. Research Methodology	67
2.2.1. Selection Criteria	68
2.2.2. Selected Gated Residential Communities	70
2.2.2.1. Al-Rehab	72
2.2.2.2. Daheyet Al-Nakheel	80
2.2.2.3. Dream Land	82
2.2.2.4. Beverly Hills	87
2.2.2.5. Arabella	92
2.2.2.6. Mayfair	96
2.2.2.7. Swan Lake	99
2.2.2.8. Al-Rabwa	103
2.2.3. Data Collection Method	107
2.2.3.1. Population and sample size	109
2.2.3.2. Survey questionnaire design	110
2.2.4. Method of data analysis	115
2.3. Chapter Conclusions	117
CHAPTER 3: RESULTS	118
3.1. Raw Data	118
3.1.1. General Findings	118
3.1.2. Personal Data Findings	
3.1.3. Residential Data Findings	121
3.1.4. Distributive Justice Findings	122
3.1.5. Procedural Justice Findings	124
3.1.6. Interactional Justice Findings	126
3.2. Data Analysis	128
3.2.1. General Data Analysis	130
3.2.2. Cross-Tabulation Analysis	133

3.2.2.1. According to gender	134
3.2.2.2. According to age range	136
3.2.2.3. According to tenure	142
3.2.2.4. According to time range of stay	144
3.2.3. Analysis of Variance	150
3.2.3.1. According to distributive justice	150
3.2.3.2. According to procedural justice	162
3.2.3.3. According to interactional justice	174
3.3. Chapter Conclusions	186
CHAPTER 4: GATES OF (IN)EQUITY?	187
4.1. Chronicles of an Urban Enclosure	187
4.2. The Recurrence of an Old Habit	189
4.3. Theoretical Debates around Gated Communities	190
4.4. New Gates of Cairo	192
4.5. Equity and Justice Perception	194
4.6. Problem, Question and Design	195
4.7. Findings and Implications	197
4.8. Chapter Conclusions	200
CONCLUSION	201
Meeting Objectives	201
Limitations	202
Recommendations for Future Work	203
REFERENCES	205
APPENDIX A	213
APPENDIX B	217
APPENDIX C	220
ADDENDIV D	221

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1. Plan of the city of "Nekhen" or Hierakonpolis	10
Figure 1.2. Plan of the city of Elkab or "Nekhen"	11
Figure 1.3. Plan of the city of Lahun	
Figure 1.4. Map of Rome showing the Servian and Aurelian walls borders	
Figure 1.5. Plan of Augusta Pretoria (Aosta) during the Roman Empire	
Figure 1.6. Plan of Tang Chang'an	
Figure 1.7. Plan of Babylon of Egypt	
Figure 1.8. A Map of Cairo under the Ayyubids	23
Figure 1.9. The City of New York in 1660	
Figure 1.10. The City of Charleston in 1711	
Figure 1.11. An aerial view of Gramercy Park in 1944.	29
Figure 1.12. The entrance gate to Tuxedo Park	30
Figure 1.13. An example of residences in Tuxedo Park	
Figure 1.14. Commercial Strip in Tuxedo Park	31
Figure 1.15. The boundaries of Greater Cairo	
Figure 1.16. A Map of Gated residential communities in the Greater Cairo Region	
Figure 2.1. Al-Rehab gated community in New Cairo	
Figure 2.2. An entrance gate in Al-Rehab	
Figure 2.3. Greenery between apartment buildings in Al-Rehab	76
Figure 2.4. An example of Al-Rehab shopping malls	
Figure 2.5. An example of educational service in Al-Rehab	77
Figure 2.6. A Medical Center in Al-Rehab	
Figure 2.7. An example of mosques in Al-Rehab	78
Figure 2.8. An example of villas in Al-Rehab	79
Figure 2.9. A bank in the banks district of Al-Rehab	79
Figure 2.10. A marketing material for Daheyet Al-Nakheel gated community in Shore	ouk
	.80
Figure 2.11. Daheyat Al-Nakheel gated residential community in Shorouk	81
Figure 2.12. Dream Land gated residential community in the Sixth of October city	83
Figure 2.13. Entrance gate of Dream Land gated community	84
Figure 2.14. Apartment building at Dream Land gated community	84
Figure 2.15. Dream Park amusement park at Dream Land gated community	85
Figure 2.16. A 5-star hotel and golf resort inside Dream Land gated community	85
Figure 2.17. A Villa at Dream Land gated community	86
Figure 2.18. An International school at Dream Land gated community	.86
Figure 2.19. Beverly Hills gated residential community in Sheikh Zayed	.89
Figure 2.20. An aerial view of Beverly Hills showing different residential typologies.	.90
Figure 2.21. A school in Beverly Hills	.90
Figure 2.23. A commercial strip in Beverly Hills	91
Figure 2.22. Villas in Beverly Hills	.91
Figure 2.24. Arabella gated residential community in New Cairo	.93
Figure 2.25. Quality architectural designs in Arabella gated community	.94
Figure 2.26. Artificial lakes in Arabella gated community	.94
Figure 2.27. Luxury landscape in Arabella gated community	
Figure 2.28. Vast Greenery in Arabella gated community	.95
Figure 2.29. Lush landscape elements in Mayfair	

Figure 2.30. Mayfair gated residential community in Shorouk	97
Figure 2.32. A swimming pool between villas at Mayfair	
Figure 2.31. Luxurious architectural and landscape spaces in Mayfair	
Figure 2.33. Swan Lake gated residential community in the Sixth of October city	
Figure 2.34. Exclusive landscape design at Swan Lake gated community	
Figure 2.35. Luxury landscape elements at Swan Lake gated community	
Figure 2.36. Marketing material for Swan Lake residential villas	
Figure 2.37. Al-Rabwa gated residential community in Sheikh Zayed	
Figure 2.38. Architectural and landscape designs of Al-Rabwa gated community	
Figure 2.39. Vast green vistas of Al-Rabwa gated community	
Figure 2.40. An artificial lake in Al-Rabwa	
Figure 2.41. Vast green bed in Al-Rabwa	
Figure 3.1. Respondents' percentages according to method of completion	
Figure 3.1. Respondents' percentages according to language selection	
Figure 3.3. Respondents' percentages according to email provision	
Figure 3.4. Respondents' percentages according to name provision	
Figure 3.5. Respondents' percentages according to gender	
Figure 3.6. Respondents' percentages according to tenure	
Figure 3.7. Respondents' percentages according to time range of stay	
Figure 3.8. Total distributive justice mean per gated residential community	
Figure 3.9. Statistical mean for DJ01 and DJ02 per GRC	
Figure 3.10. Procedural justice total statistical mean per GRC	
Figure 3.11. Statistical mean for PJ01, PJ02, PJ03, and PJ04 per GRC	
Figure 3.12. Interactional justice total statistical mean per GRC	
Figure 3.13. Statistical mean for IJ01, IJ02, IJ03, and IJ04 per GRC	
Figure 3.14. Equity total statistical mean for prestige communities	
Figure 3.15. Equity total statistical mean for lifestyle communities	
Figure 3.16. Equity mean for prestige and lifestyle communities	.130
Figure 3.17. Percentages of respondents according to name provision per GRC	101
classification	
Figure 3.18. Distributive, procedural, and interactional justice perceptions according	to,
name provision in lifestyle communities	
Figure 3.19. Distributive, procedural, and interactional justice perceptions according	
name provision in prestige communities	
Figure 3.20. Gender percentages in prestige communities	
Figure 3.21. Gender percentages in lifestyle communities	
Figure 3.22. To the left: Equity perception according to gender in lifestyle communi	
To the right: Distributive, procedural, and interactional justice perception according	
gender in lifestyle communities	
Figure 3.23. To the left: Equity perception according to gender in prestige communi	
To the right: Distributive, procedural, and interactional justice perception according	
gender in prestige communities	
Figure 3.24. Area chart for the percentages of age ranges in lifestyle communities	
Figure 3.25. Area chart for the percentage of age ranges in prestige communities	
Figure 3.26. Equity perception according to age ranges per GRC classification	
Figure 3.27. Distributive, procedural, and interactional justice perceptions according	
age range in lifestyle communities	
Figure 3.28. Distributive, procedural, and interactional justice perceptions according	
age range in prestige communities	. 141

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1. The importance of social values in residents' choice of a gated community .38
Table 1.2. Blakely and Snyder's general classification of gated communities41
Table 1.3. Functions of enclosure according to classification
Table 1.4. Security features according to classification
Table 1.5. Amenities and facilities according to classification
Table 1.6. Type of resident according to classification
Table 1.7. Gated residential communities in Greater Cairo according to Figure 1.1657
Table 2.1. Developed classification criteria for selection
Table 2.2. Selected gated communities for further investigation
Table 2.3. Selected gated residential gated communities in Greater Cairo for the study72
Table 2.4. Service in Al-Rehab gated community
Table 2.5. Services in Beverly Hills gated community87
Table 2.6. Population and sample size in each selected gated residential community .110
Table 2.7. Scores according to the questionnaire answers
Table 2.8. Reverse scores according to the questionnaire answers116
Table 3.1. Respondents' frequencies according to place of residence121
Table 3.2. Distributive justice statistical mean per GRC
Table 3.3. Procedural justice statistical mean per GRC
Table 3.4. Interactional justice statistical mean per GRC
Table 3.5. Total means for distributive, procedural, interactional justice and total equity
mean per selected GRC
Table 3.6. Frequencies of respondents according to GRC classification
Table 3.7. Distributive, procedural, interactional, and total equity means in lifestyle and
prestige communities per name provision
Table 3.8. Frequencies of respondents according to gender per GRC classification134
Table 3.9. Distributive, procedural, interactional, and total equity means in lifestyle and
prestige communities per gender
Table 3.10. Frequencies and percentages of age ranges according to GRC classification
137
Table 3.11. Distributive, procedural, interactional, and total equity means in lifestyle and
prestige communities per age range
Table 3.12. Frequencies and percentages of owners and tenants according to GRC
classification
Table 3.13. Distributive, procedural, interactional, and total equity means in lifestyle and
prestige communities per tenure
Table 3.14. Frequencies and percentages of respondents' time range of stay according to
GRC classification
Table 3.15. Distributive, procedural, interactional, and total equity means in lifestyle and
prestige communities per time range of stay
Table 3.16. Statistical significance p-values and description per respondents' groups
according to the variable of distributive justice in lifestyle communities
Table 3.17. Distributive justice descriptives for statistical significance according to
gender in lifestyle communities
Table 3.18. Gender ANOVA for distributive justice variable in lifestyle communities 152
Table 3.19. Distributive justice descriptives for statistical significance according to age
range in lifestyle communities