





# **APPLICATIONS OF MODEL PREDICTIVE CONTROL (MPC) IN ENERGY CONVERSION SYSTEMS**

By

**Islam Ahmed Ali Sayed**

A Thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of  
**Master of Science**  
in  
**Electrical Power and Machines Engineering**

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2021

# **APPLICATIONS OF MODEL PREDICTIVE CONTROL (MPC) IN ENERGY CONVERSION SYSTEMS**

By  
**Islam Ahmed Ali Sayed**

A Thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of  
**Master of Science**  
in  
**Electrical Power and Machines Engineering**

Under the Supervision of

**Prof. Dr. Abdel Latif Mohamed  
Elshafei**

Professor of Automatic Control Systems  
Electrical Power and Machines  
Department  
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2021

# **APPLICATIONS OF MODEL PREDICTIVE CONTROL (MPC) IN ENERGY CONVERSION SYSTEMS**

By  
**Islam Ahmed Ali Sayed**

A Thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of  
**Master of Science**  
in  
**Electrical Power and Machines Engineering**

Approved by the  
Examining Committee

**Prof. Dr. Abdel Latif Mohamed Elshafei** (Thesis Main Advisor)

**Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Kamel** (Internal Examiner)

**Prof. Dr. Omar Hanafy Abdalla** (External Examiner)  
Professor of Power Systems, Helwan University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2021

**Engineer's Name:** Islam Ahmed Ali Sayed  
**Date of Birth:** 6 / 2 / 1994  
**Nationality:** Egyptian  
**E-mail:** Islam.Ahmed94@yahoo.com  
**Phone:** +2-01061301817  
**Address:** El-Ayat, Giza, Egypt  
**Registration Date:** 1 / 10 / 2018  
**Awarding Date:** / / 2021  
**Degree:** Master of Science  
**Department:** Electrical Power and Machines Engineering



**Supervisors:**

Prof. Dr. Abdel Latif Mohamed Elshafei

**Examiners:**

Prof. Dr. Abdel Latif Mohamed Elshafei (Main Advisor)  
Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Kamel (Internal Examiner)  
Prof. Dr. Omar Hanafy Abdalla (External Examiner)  
Professor of Power Systems, Helwan University

**Title of Thesis:**

Applications of Model Predictive Control (MPC) in Energy Conversion Systems

**Key Words:**

Power System Stabilizer – Model Predictive Control – Wind Power Plant – Linear Quadratic Regulator – Pole Placement

**Summary:**

The present thesis investigates the model predictive control as a power system stabilizer to damp the frequency oscillations. It discusses the different types of MPC which consider constraints or not. It also discusses some other controllers like Linear Quadratic Regulator and Pole Placement. The controllers are designed in detail and applied to three different power system models; a single machine connected to an infinite bus, a multi-machine power system model, and a multi-machine power system model with a wind power plant. Then, some disturbances are made to test the controllers including a three-phase fault, transmission line outage, and machine's voltage reference sudden change. The design and simulation are done by MATLAB/SIMULINK.

## **Disclaimer**

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own original work and that no part of it has been submitted for a degree qualification at any other university or institute.

I further declare that I have appropriately acknowledged all sources used and have cited them in the references section.

Name: Islam Ahmed Ali Sayed

Date: / / 2021

Signature:

## **Acknowledgments**

First and foremost, I deeply thank and praise Allah for all of his blessings and for guiding me in all of my life and this thesis. I thank my parents, brothers, and sisters for their continuous encouragement and support. May Allah reward them and keep us always together. I am deeply grateful to Prof. Dr. Abdel Latif Elshafei, my main supervisor on this thesis. He is the best advisor I can have. I have been learning a lot from him morally and technically. I benefit strongly from his knowledge, guidance, and support. I would like to thank Eng. Mohamed Ashraf for his help with the wind power plant model. I also thank my friend Eng. Amr Ahmed Abbas for his tips and support. Finally, I want to thank everyone who helped and supported me in this thesis from my professors, friends, and all the electrical power and machines engineering department staff.

# Table of Contents

<b>DISCLAIMER</b> .....	<b>I</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</b> .....	<b>II</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b> .....	<b>III</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	<b>V</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	<b>VI</b>
<b>NOMENCLATURE</b> .....	<b>VIII</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	<b>X</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1.    MOTIVATION.....	1
1.2.    BACKGROUND.....	1
1.2.1.    Synchronous Machine.....	1
1.2.2.    Power System Stability .....	2
1.2.3.    Power System Stabilizer.....	3
1.2.4.    Power System Stability Classification .....	4
1.2.5.    Renewable Energy .....	6
1.2.6.    Wind Power Plant .....	7
1.3.    LITERATURE SURVEY.....	9
1.4.    PROBLEM DEFINITION .....	12
1.5.    THESIS OBJECTIVES .....	12
1.6.    THESIS OUTLINE .....	12
<b>CHAPTER 2 : MODEL PREDICTIVE CONTROL</b> .....	<b>13</b>
2.1.    INTRODUCTION .....	13
2.2.    UNCONSTRAINED MODEL PREDICTIVE CONTROL .....	13
2.2.1.    Finite Prediction Horizon .....	13
2.2.2.    Infinite Prediction Horizon.....	15
2.3.    CONSTRAINED MODEL PREDICTIVE CONTROL.....	16
2.3.1.    Inputs and States Constraints .....	16
2.3.2.    Additional Constraints for Stability .....	18
2.4.    CONCLUSIONS .....	22
<b>CHAPTER 3 : SINGLE MACHINE CONNECTED TO AN INFINITE BUS</b> .....	<b>23</b>
3.1.    INTRODUCTION .....	23
3.2.    SYSTEM DESCRIPTION.....	23
3.3.    SYSTEM MODELLING .....	24
3.4.    STATE OBSERVER .....	28
3.5.    POWER SYSTEM STABILIZER .....	29
3.5.1.    State Feedback Control .....	30

3.5.2.	Linear Quadratic Regulator.....	30
3.5.3.	Unconstrained Model Predictive Control.....	31
3.5.4.	Constrained Model Predictive Control.....	32
3.6.	CONTROL TESTS.....	33
3.7.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	34
3.8.	CONCLUSIONS .....	39
<b>CHAPTER 4 : MULTI-MACHINE POWER SYSTEM.....</b>		<b>41</b>
4.1.	INTRODUCTION .....	41
4.2.	SYSTEM DESCRIPTION.....	41
4.3.	SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION .....	43
4.4.	STATE OBSERVER .....	46
4.5.	POWER SYSTEM STABILIZER .....	47
4.5.1.	State Feedback Control .....	47
4.5.2.	Linear Quadratic Regulator.....	47
4.5.3.	Unconstrained Model Predictive Control.....	48
4.5.4.	Constrained Model Predictive Control.....	48
4.6.	CONTROL TESTS.....	49
4.7.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	50
4.8.	CONCLUSIONS .....	56
<b>CHAPTER 5 : MULTI-MACHINE POWER SYSTEM WITH WIND POWER PLANT .....</b>		<b>57</b>
5.1.	INTRODUCTION .....	57
5.2.	SYSTEM DESCRIPTION.....	57
5.3.	SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION .....	60
5.4.	STATE OBSERVER .....	62
5.5.	POWER SYSTEM STABILIZER .....	62
5.5.1.	State Feedback Control .....	62
5.5.2.	Linear Quadratic Regulator.....	62
5.5.3.	Unconstrained Model Predictive Control.....	63
5.5.4.	Constrained Model Predictive Control.....	63
5.6.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	64
5.7.	CONCLUSIONS .....	70
<b>CHAPTER 6 : CONCLUSIONS.....</b>		<b>71</b>
6.1.	THESIS SUMMARY .....	71
6.2.	THESIS CONCLUSIONS .....	72
6.3.	FUTURE WORK.....	73
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>		<b>75</b>
<b>APPENDIX A: SIMULATION DIAGRAMS.....</b>		<b>81</b>
<b>APPENDIX B: CONTROL CODES .....</b>		<b>88</b>

## List of Tables

Table 1 Comparison of closed-loop time response with PSSs, SMIB .....	38
Table 2 MMPS machines ratings data .....	42
Table 3 MMPS loads and capacitor banks data.....	42
Table 4 Power flow analysis of MMPS.....	43
Table 5 Comparison of closed-loop time response with PSSs, MMPS .....	55
Table 6 Data of the additional components to MMPS .....	58
Table 7 Power flow analysis of MMPS-WPP .....	58
Table 8 Grid-side converter data [34].....	60
Table 9 Transmission system data [34] .....	60
Table 10 Comparison of closed-loop time response with PSSs, MMPS-WPP .....	69

## List of Figures

Figure 1 Synchronous machine diagram .....	2
Figure 2 Synchronous generator controls .....	3
Figure 3 Power system stability classification [5].....	5
Figure 4 Egypt electricity production plan in 2035 [8] .....	7
Figure 5 Wind generation diagram .....	7
Figure 6 Wind generation Type 1 .....	8
Figure 7 Wind generation Type 2.....	8
Figure 8 Wind generation Type 3.....	9
Figure 9 Wind generation Type 4.....	9
Figure 10 The predicted control output and its tail graph .....	18
Figure 11 Additional stability constraints algorithm flowchart.....	19
Figure 12 SMIB diagram.....	24
Figure 13 SMIB nonlinear block diagram.....	26
Figure 14 SMIB continuous linearized model.....	27
Figure 15 Closed-loop system block diagram .....	29
Figure 16 Time response of the linearized model, ( $P = 1, Q = 0.4$ ) .....	34
Figure 17 Time response of the nonlinear model, ( $P = 1, Q = 0.4$ ) .....	35
Figure 18 Time response of the linearized model, ( $P = 1, Q = -0.4$ ) .....	35
Figure 19 Time response of the nonlinear model, ( $P = 1, Q = -0.4$ ) .....	36
Figure 20 Comparison of closed-loop time response with PSSs ( $P=1, Q=0.4$ ) .....	37
Figure 21 Comparison of closed-loop time response with PSSs ( $P=1, Q=-0.4$ ).....	37
Figure 22 MMPS diagram .....	42
Figure 23 Machine (M1) preparation to system identification.....	44
Figure 24 System identification input and output signals, MMPS.....	45
Figure 25 MMPS time response, three-phase fault test.....	50
Figure 26 MMPS time response, transmission line outage test.....	51
Figure 27 MMPS time response, voltage reference sudden change test .....	52
Figure 28 Comparison of Machine (M1) frequency response with PSSs, MMPS.....	53
Figure 29 Comparison of tie-line power response with PSSs, MMPS.....	54
Figure 30 MMPS-WPP diagram .....	58
Figure 31 Simplified WPP generation Type 4 block diagram [34].....	59
Figure 32 System identification input and output signals, MMPS-WPP .....	61
Figure 33 MMPS-WPP time response, three-phase fault test .....	64
Figure 34 MMPS-WPP time response, transmission line outage test .....	65
Figure 35 MMPS-WPP time response, voltage reference sudden change test.....	65
Figure 36 Comparison of machine (M1) frequency response with PSSs, MMPS-WPP.....	67
Figure 37 Comparison of tie-line power response with PSSs, MMPS-WPP .....	68
Figure 38 Closed-loop system of SMIB construction in SIMULINK .....	81
Figure 39 Nonlinear model of SMIB construction in SIMULINK .....	82
Figure 40 Continuous linearized model of SMIB construction in SIMULINK.....	82
Figure 41 State observer construction in SIMULINK.....	83
Figure 42 MMPS model construction in SIMULINK.....	83
Figure 43 Area 1 in MMPS model construction in SIMULINK .....	84
Figure 44 Area 2 in MMPS model construction in SIMULINK.....	84
Figure 45 Machine 1 controls in MMPS model construction in SIMULINK.....	85
Figure 46 PSS in MMPS model construction in SIMULINK .....	85

Figure 47 Area 1 in MMPS-WPP model construction in SIMULINK .....	86
Figure 48 WPP connection in SIMULINK .....	86
Figure 49 WPP construction in SIMULINK .....	87

# Nomenclature

AC	Alternating Current
AVR	Automatic Voltage Regulator
cMPC	Constrained Model Predictive Control
CSO	Cuckoo Search Optimization
CSP	Concentrated Solar Power
DC	Direct Current
DE	Differential Evolution
DFIG	Doubly Fed Induction Generator
GA	Genetic Algorithm
HSO	Harmony Search Optimization
LQR	Linear Quadratic Regulator
MMPS	Multi-Machine Power System
MMPS-WPP	Multi-Machine Power System with Wind Power Plant
MPC	Model Predictive Control
N4SID	Subspace State Space System Identification
PI	Proportional Integral Controller
PID	Proportional Integral Derivative Controller

PLL	Phase Locked Loop
PSO	Particle Swarm Optimization
PSS	Power System Stabilizer
PV	Photo Voltaic
SCIG	Squirrel Cage Induction Generator
SFC	State Feedback Control by Pole Placement
SMIB	Single Machine Connected to an Infinite Bus
uMPC	Unconstrained Model Predictive Control
WOA	Whale Optimization Algorithm
WPP	Wind Power Plant

# Abstract

Since the first power system was introduced, its stability became on the spot. The researchers have been developing controllers to keep the power system's voltage and frequency around nominal values. The first power system consisted of a generator connected to a load. An automatic voltage regulator (AVR) is used for controlling the excitation voltage and the generated voltage correspondingly. A governor is used to regulate the rotating speed and the frequency consequently. While the power system is increasing, a lot of machines are connected to it every day. This requires a new controller to keep the power system stable. The power system stabilizer (PSS) is introduced. It supplies a damping signal to the excitation system via the AVR. This signal depends on the machine's frequency change. Several control strategies have been used as PSS. In this thesis, model predictive control (MPC) is investigated to be used as PSS. Besides, a lot of countries spread in using renewable energies particularly wind and solar. This increase certainly affects the power system stability. MPC is investigated in treating these effects as well.

MPC is optimal control and uses numerical optimization algorithms to get an optimal control output concerning the system's constraints. It can handle these constraints with a big degree of stability and robustness. These merits are so considerable as the PSS has some constraints due to the synchronous machine's nature. In this thesis, MPC is explained and designed in detail. Then, it is applied to three power systems; a single machine connected to an infinite bus (SMIB), a multi-machine power system (MMPS), and a multi-machine power system with a wind power plant (MMPS-WPP). Some disturbances are made to test it including a three-phase fault, transmission line outage, and machine's voltage sudden change. Then, its performance is investigated and compared to state feedback control via pole placement (SFC) and linear quadratic regulator (LQR). The design and simulation are done by MATLAB/SIMULINK.

MPC shows efficient performance among the controllers especially in handling the constraints. In the SMIB, the constrained MPC gives the best performance. The unconstrained MPC with an infinite prediction horizon performs similarly to LQR. SFC competes in most cases. In the MMPS, LQR performs efficiently with the constrained MPC as the controllers do not need to exceed the constraints in most of the studied cases. SFC performs well in some cases. In the MMPS-WPP, the controllers succeed in stabilizing the power system as well. The constrained MPC proves its ability in handling the constraints especially in the case of three-phase fault.